Estate of Rosenberg v. Commissioner, 87 T. C. 1 (1986)

Lump-sum retirement benefits and gifts made within three years of death may be included in the decedent's gross estate for estate tax purposes.

Summary

In Estate of Rosenberg, the Tax Court upheld the inclusion of a $25,000 lump-sum retirement payment to the decedent's son in the gross estate under section 2039(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, as the son's election to report the payment as capital gain disqualified it from the section 2039(c) exemption. Additionally, the court ruled that gifts totaling $3,000 per year made within three years of the decedent's death must be included in the gross estate under section 2035, rejecting the estate's constitutional challenge to this provision. The decision clarifies the tax treatment of retirement benefits and the scope of section 2035, emphasizing the importance of the beneficiary's tax election in determining estate tax liability.

Facts

Frederick Rosenberg, a New York City employee, retired in 1974 and elected Option 4 under the New York City Employees' Retirement System, setting aside $50,000 to be paid to his beneficiaries upon his death. Upon his death in 1980, his son Peter received $25,000, which he reported as capital gain on his income tax return. Additionally, Rosenberg made gifts to Peter totaling $39,070 between 1977 and 1979, with annual gifts exceeding $3,000. The Commissioner determined an estate tax deficiency, asserting that the $25,000 payment and certain gifts should be included in Rosenberg's gross estate.

Procedural History

The Estate of Frederick Rosenberg challenged the Commissioner's determination of a $19,724 estate tax deficiency. After concessions, the case proceeded on the issues of whether the $25,000 payment to Peter should be included in the gross estate under section 2039 and whether gifts to Peter in 1978 and 1979 should be included under section 2035. The case was submitted on a stipulation of facts and exhibits.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the $25,000 payment to Peter Rosenberg under the New York City Employees' Retirement System is includable in the decedent's gross estate under section 2039 of the Internal Revenue Code.
2. Whether the first $3,000 of gifts made by the decedent to Peter Rosenberg in 1978 and 1979 are includable in the decedent's gross estate under section 2035 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. Yes, because the payment was part of the decedent's pension and Peter's election to report it as capital gain disqualified it from the section 2039(c) exemption, thereby requiring its inclusion under section 2039(a).
2. Yes, because under the 1978 version of section 2035, gifts aggregating more than $3,000 in a year to a single donee within three years of death are includable in the gross estate, and the provision is constitutional.

Court's Reasoning

The court found that the $25,000 payment was part of Rosenberg's pension, as it was actuarially carved out of his retirement allowance. Peter's election to report the payment as capital gain triggered section 2039(f), which excluded the payment from the section 2039(c) exemption, thus requiring its inclusion under section 2039(a). The court emphasized that the statutory language of section 2039(a) and (f) was clear, despite its complexity, and rejected the estate's argument that the payment was irrevocably designated in 1974, before section 2039(f) was enacted. Regarding the gifts, the court applied the 1978 version of section 2035, which requires inclusion of gifts made within three years of death exceeding $3,000 per year to a single donee. The court upheld the constitutionality of section 2035, noting that Congress had a rational basis for the provision, aimed at preventing tax avoidance through gifts shortly before death.

Practical Implications

This decision underscores the importance of beneficiary tax elections in determining estate tax liability for lump-sum retirement benefits. Beneficiaries must carefully consider the tax treatment of such payments, as electing favorable income tax options can result in estate tax inclusion. For estate planning, this case highlights the need to understand the interplay between sections 2039 and 402 of the Internal Revenue Code. Additionally, the ruling on section 2035 reaffirms the inclusion of significant gifts made shortly before death in the gross estate, emphasizing the need for strategic timing of gifts to minimize estate tax. This case has been applied in subsequent rulings to clarify the tax treatment of retirement benefits and gifts, influencing estate planning and tax practice in this area.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.