Orange & Rockland Utilities, Inc. v. Commissioner, 86 T. C. 199 (1986)

The cycle meter reading method of accounting is a permissible method of accrual accounting for tax purposes, even when it does not conform to the method used for financial statement and regulatory reporting.

Summary

Orange & Rockland Utilities, Inc. and its subsidiaries, regulated public utilities, used the cycle meter reading method for tax purposes, which deferred revenue recognition until after the last meter reading date of the year. The IRS argued that this method did not clearly reflect income due to non-conformity with financial reporting methods. The Tax Court held that the cycle meter reading method was permissible under IRC section 446(c)(2) and clearly reflected income under section 446(b), as the right to receive unbilled revenue was not fixed until the following year's meter reading, in line with public utility regulations.

Facts

Orange & Rockland Utilities, Inc. , and its subsidiaries, including Rockland Electric Company, were regulated public utilities providing gas and electric services. They employed the cycle meter reading method for tax purposes, where revenue was accrued based on meter readings and billing cycles. This method deferred revenue recognition for services provided after the last meter reading in December until the following year. For financial statement purposes, however, they accrued estimated unbilled revenue at year-end, which created a disparity between tax and financial accounting methods. The IRS challenged this practice, asserting that unbilled revenue should be accrued for tax purposes to conform with financial accounting methods.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency to Orange & Rockland Utilities, Inc. , and Rockland Electric Company for the years 1976 and 1977, claiming deficiencies based on the non-accrual of unbilled revenue. The taxpayers petitioned the U. S. Tax Court for a redetermination of these deficiencies. The Tax Court, following its precedent in Public Service Co. of New Hampshire v. Commissioner, held that the cycle meter reading method was permissible and clearly reflected income, despite the lack of conformity with financial accounting methods.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the cycle meter reading method of accounting clearly reflects income under IRC section 446(b), despite not conforming to the method used for financial statement and regulatory reporting purposes?
2. Whether the cycle meter reading method is a permissible method of accrual accounting under IRC section 446(c)(2)?

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. | 1

Holding

1. Yes, because the method clearly reflects income as all events fixing the right to receive unbilled revenue have not occurred by year-end, consistent with utility regulations.
2. Yes, because the method is a permissible accrual method under IRC section 446(c)(2), as unbilled revenue is not billable until after the last meter reading of the year.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court applied the 'all events test' to determine when income should be accrued for tax purposes. Under this test, income is recognized when all events have occurred that fix the right to receive income and the amount can be determined with reasonable accuracy. The court found that the cycle meter reading method complied with this test because the utility's right to receive payment for unbilled services was not fixed until the following year's meter reading, as required by public utility commission regulations. The court rejected the IRS's argument that the method was a hybrid not permitted under the Code, stating it was a permissible accrual method. The court also noted that the matching of revenues and expenses was not essential, and any mismatch was incidental to the utility's regulated environment. The decision was influenced by the utility's consistent use of the method and its alignment with generally accepted accounting principles in the industry.

Practical Implications

This decision reinforces that regulated utilities can use the cycle meter reading method for tax purposes without conforming to their financial accounting practices. It establishes that the IRS cannot require income recognition of unbilled revenue merely due to a lack of conformity between tax and financial accounting. For similar cases, attorneys should analyze whether all events fixing the right to income have occurred based on applicable regulations. This ruling may impact how utilities structure their accounting methods and could influence future IRS guidance or regulations on accrual methods for regulated entities. Subsequent cases, such as Public Service Co. of New Hampshire, have applied this ruling, solidifying its precedent in tax law for utilities.