Grunwald v. Commissioner, 86 T. C. 85 (1986)

Only specific methods outlined in Form 872-A can terminate an extension agreement for tax assessment.

Summary

In Grunwald v. Commissioner, the Tax Court clarified that an extension agreement for tax assessment (Form 872-A) can only be terminated through the methods specified in the form itself. The Grunwalds argued that a letter from an IRS appeals officer constituted a termination, but the court held that only the mailing of Form 872-T or a statutory notice of deficiency could end the extension period. This ruling underscores the importance of adhering to the terms of such agreements and has significant implications for how taxpayers and the IRS handle extensions of the statute of limitations on tax assessments.

Facts

Ronald and Sharon Grunwald executed a Form 872-A with the IRS, extending the period for assessing their income taxes for the years 1975 through 1978. The agreement allowed termination upon the IRS receiving Form 872-T from the taxpayers, the IRS mailing Form 872-T to the taxpayers, or the IRS mailing a notice of deficiency. On November 8, 1983, an IRS appeals officer sent a letter to the Grunwalds' counsel, urging settlement and warning of a forthcoming statutory notice of deficiency if no agreement was reached. The Grunwalds argued this letter terminated the extension, but the IRS issued a statutory notice of deficiency on March 21, 1984.

Procedural History

The IRS moved for partial summary judgment in the Tax Court, asserting the statutory notice of deficiency was timely. The Grunwalds cross-moved for partial summary judgment, claiming the November 8, 1983 letter terminated the extension agreement. The Tax Court granted the IRS's motion and denied the Grunwalds' motion.

Issue(s)

1. Whether a letter from an IRS appeals officer, which urged settlement and warned of a forthcoming statutory notice of deficiency, effectively terminated the extension agreement (Form 872-A) between the Grunwalds and the IRS.

Holding

1. No, because the letter did not meet the specific termination methods outlined in Form 872-A, which required either the mailing of Form 872-T or a statutory notice of deficiency to end the extension period.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court emphasized that Form 872-A explicitly lists the methods for termination: mailing of Form 872-T by either party or the IRS issuing a statutory notice of deficiency. The court found that the appeals officer’s letter, which merely urged settlement and warned of potential action, did not satisfy these requirements. The court noted that allowing informal methods of termination would undermine the purpose of Form 872-T, which is to clearly communicate intent to end the extension. The court also distinguished prior cases that allowed termination by letter, citing changes in IRS procedure that clarified termination methods. The decision reinforced that both parties must adhere to the agreed-upon terms in the extension agreement.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that taxpayers and the IRS must strictly follow the termination methods specified in Form 872-A. Practitioners should ensure clients understand these requirements when entering into extension agreements. The ruling impacts how taxpayers and the IRS negotiate and manage extensions of the statute of limitations, emphasizing the need for formal termination procedures. Subsequent cases have reinforced this principle, ensuring that both parties are bound by the terms of their agreements, which can affect the timing of tax assessments and the strategic planning of tax disputes.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.