Estate of Edward A. Boyd, Julia H. Boyd and Michael E. Boyd, Co-Personal Representatives, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 85 T. C. 1056 (1985)

A will's tax clause directing payment of estate taxes from the estate prevents the executor from recovering estate taxes on life insurance proceeds from the beneficiary under IRC § 2206.

Summary

In Estate of Boyd v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that a beneficiary of nonprobate life insurance proceeds was not liable for estate taxes on those proceeds due to a specific tax clause in the decedent's will. Edward Boyd's will directed that all estate taxes be paid from his estate, including taxes on nonprobate assets like life insurance proceeds. After Boyd's death, his son, the beneficiary of the life insurance and the sole beneficiary under the will, disclaimed his interest. The court held that the disclaimer did not shift the tax liability to the son, reducing the marital deduction because the estate remained liable for the tax. This case clarifies the impact of will provisions on tax apportionment and the calculation of the marital deduction.

Facts

Edward A. Boyd died testate in 1979, leaving a will that directed all estate and inheritance taxes to be paid from his general estate, including taxes on nonprobate assets. Boyd's son, Michael, was the sole beneficiary under the will but disclaimed his interest, causing the probate estate to pass intestate to Boyd's surviving spouse, Julia. The estate included life insurance proceeds payable to Michael. The estate paid the estate tax on these proceeds and sought to recover this amount from Michael, arguing that his disclaimer made him liable under IRC § 2206.

Procedural History

The estate filed a Federal estate tax return and paid the tax on the life insurance proceeds. The Commissioner issued a notice of deficiency, reducing the marital deduction due to the estate's liability for the tax on the life insurance proceeds. The estate petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, arguing that Michael's disclaimer shifted the tax liability to him. The Commissioner responded with an amended answer, further reducing the marital deduction for state inheritance tax.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the beneficiary of nonprobate life insurance proceeds is liable to the executor for the Federal estate tax attributable to those proceeds under IRC § 2206, despite a will provision directing the estate to pay all estate taxes.
2. Whether the marital deduction must be reduced for state inheritance tax imposed upon property passing to the surviving spouse.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Holding

1. No, because the decedent's will directed that the estate pay all estate taxes, including those on the life insurance proceeds, thereby precluding the executor's right to recover the tax from the beneficiary under IRC § 2206.
2. Yes, because the estate paid the state inheritance tax on behalf of the surviving spouse, reducing the net value of the property passing to her and thus reducing the marital deduction.

Court's Reasoning

The court found that IRC § 2206 allows an executor to recover estate taxes on life insurance proceeds from the beneficiary unless the decedent directs otherwise in the will. Boyd's will contained a clear directive that all estate taxes be paid from the estate, including taxes on nonprobate assets. The court rejected the estate's argument that Michael's disclaimer shifted the tax liability to him, stating that a disclaimer cannot create a tax liability where none existed under the will. The court also noted that the surviving spouse's interest was subject to the will's tax clause, even though it passed intestate. The court upheld the Commissioner's reduction of the marital deduction for both the Federal estate tax on the life insurance proceeds and the state inheritance tax paid on behalf of the surviving spouse.

Practical Implications

This decision emphasizes the importance of clear will provisions regarding tax apportionment. Estate planners must carefully draft tax clauses to ensure that the intended tax burden is achieved. The ruling clarifies that a beneficiary cannot become liable for estate taxes on life insurance proceeds through a disclaimer if the will directs the estate to pay those taxes. This case also impacts the calculation of the marital deduction, as any estate or inheritance taxes paid by the estate reduce the net value of the property passing to the surviving spouse. Practitioners should be aware of this when planning estates with nonprobate assets and when calculating the marital deduction. Subsequent cases have followed this ruling, reinforcing the principle that clear will provisions control tax apportionment.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.