### Estate of Richard B. Baumgardner, June Baumgardner Gelbart (Formerly June E. Baumgardner), Personal Representative, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 85 T. C. 445 (1985)

The Tax Court has jurisdiction to determine an overpayment of estate tax that includes interest paid on installments when the tax is paid in installments under section 6166A.

#### Summary

The Estate of Baumgardner elected to pay its estate tax in installments under section 6166A. After the IRS determined a deficiency, the parties agreed there was no deficiency and the estate had overpaid. The key issue was whether the Tax Court had jurisdiction to include overpaid interest in the overpayment calculation. The Court held that it did have jurisdiction, reversing prior case law to the extent it conflicted with this holding. This decision was based on statutory interpretation and the need to avoid forcing taxpayers to pursue separate actions for tax and interest overpayments.

#### Facts

Richard B. Baumgardner died on October 16, 1976. His estate elected to pay the estate tax in installments under section 6166A. The IRS sent detailed bills allocating payments between principal (tax) and interest, which the estate paid without objection. On January 9, 1981, the IRS issued a notice of deficiency for \$186,705. The estate petitioned the Tax Court, and after negotiations, the parties agreed there was no deficiency and the estate had overpaid the tax by \$95,319. 93. The estate argued that overpaid interest should be included in the overpayment, totaling \$141,224. 63.

### **Procedural History**

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency on January 9, 1981. The estate timely filed a petition with the Tax Court. After negotiations, the parties settled all issues except the inclusion of interest in the overpayment calculation. The Tax Court then considered this issue and ruled in favor of the estate, overruling prior cases that had limited its jurisdiction over interest.

### Issue(s)

1. Whether an overpayment of estate tax, within the meaning of section 6512(b), may include the overpayment of amounts originally paid as tax and interest by means of section 6166A installment payments.

2. Whether the IRS properly allocated the estate's section 6166A installment payments between principal and interest.

### Holding

1. Yes, because the term "overpayment" includes assessed and paid interest at the time of the overpayment, as determined by the Tax Court's jurisdiction under section 6512(b).

2. Yes, because the estate's payments were voluntary and the estate did not direct the application of funds, allowing the IRS to make its allocations.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court reasoned that the statutory framework and case law supported its jurisdiction over interest as part of an overpayment. It interpreted "overpayment" to include any payment in excess of what is properly due, which could include interest paid on installments. The Court noted that the IRS's ability to allocate payments as it sees fit did not preclude the Tax Court from considering interest in the overpayment calculation. The Court also overruled prior cases like *Capital Building & Loan Association v. Commissioner* and *Steubenville Bridge Co. v. Commissioner*, which had limited its jurisdiction over interest. The decision was influenced by the need to avoid forcing taxpayers into multiple legal actions for different components of an overpayment and by the practical implications of section 6166A installment payments.

# **Practical Implications**

This decision expands the Tax Court's jurisdiction to include interest in overpayment calculations, simplifying the process for taxpayers who have paid estate taxes in installments. Practitioners should now include interest in overpayment claims when appropriate. This ruling may affect how estates plan for and pay their taxes, as they can now seek refunds for both tax and interest overpayments in a single action. The decision also sets a precedent for future cases involving section 6166A and similar installment payment provisions, potentially impacting IRS procedures and taxpayer expectations regarding overpayment claims.