

Curtis v. Commissioner, 84 T. C. 1349 (1985)

The IRS's inspection of a limited partnership's books does not constitute a second inspection of a partner's books under section 7605(b) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Summary

In *Curtis v. Commissioner*, the U. S. Tax Court held that the IRS's examination of a limited partnership's books did not constitute a second inspection of a partner's books under section 7605(b), which prohibits unnecessary or multiple inspections of a taxpayer's books without notice. Leslie Curtis, a partner in Rock Properties, Ltd. , argued that the IRS's review of the partnership's books was a second inspection of his books. The court disagreed, stating that a partnership's institutional identity distinguishes its books from those of individual partners. This decision clarifies that the IRS may inspect partnership records without it counting as an inspection of each partner's books, thereby not infringing on the protections of section 7605(b).

Facts

Leslie C. Curtis, a California resident, held a 9. 5% interest in Rock Properties, Ltd. , a Florida limited partnership. In 1978, the IRS examined Curtis's 1976 tax return and sent him a "no-change" letter. Later that year, the IRS inspected the partnership's books without notifying Curtis, leading to a disallowance of some of his claimed distributive share of the partnership's losses and credits. Curtis argued that this constituted a second inspection of his books in violation of section 7605(b).

Procedural History

The IRS issued a statutory notice of deficiency to Curtis for 1976 and 1977. Curtis petitioned the Tax Court, contesting the notice on the grounds of an alleged violation of section 7605(b). The Tax Court heard the case and ruled in favor of the Commissioner, holding that the examination of the partnership's books did not constitute a second inspection of Curtis's books.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the IRS's inspection of the books of a limited partnership constitutes a second inspection of a partner's books under section 7605(b) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. No, because the inspection of a limited partnership's books does not equate to an inspection of a partner's books. The court reasoned that a partnership possesses an institutional identity separate from its partners, and thus, its books are not the same as those of individual partners.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied section 7605(b), which aims to prevent harassment through repetitive investigations but not to severely restrict the Commissioner's powers. It cited precedent that a partnership, though not a "taxpayer," can have an institutional identity sufficient to distinguish its books from those of its partners. The court emphasized that recognizing the partnership's books as those of the partners would unduly hamper the IRS's ability to evaluate partnerships. The court referenced the Supreme Court's decision in *Bellis v. United States*, which acknowledged partnerships as entities for certain purposes, and rejected Curtis's reliance on *Moloney v. United States*, noting the significant differences in partnership size and involvement. The court concluded that an inspection of the partnership's books did not violate section 7605(b).

Practical Implications

This ruling clarifies that the IRS can inspect partnership records without such action counting as an inspection of each partner's books under section 7605(b). This allows the IRS greater latitude in auditing partnerships, particularly larger ones with many partners, without the need to notify each partner of such an examination. The decision impacts how attorneys should advise clients involved in partnerships regarding IRS investigations. It also sets a precedent for distinguishing between corporate and partnership entities in tax law, influencing how similar cases involving entity examinations should be analyzed. Subsequent cases like *Williams v. United States* have applied this ruling, treating limited partnerships more like corporate investors for inspection purposes.