

## ***Stamm International Corp. v. Commissioner, 84 T. C. 248 (1985)***

A statutory notice of deficiency is divisible, allowing the Tax Court to have jurisdiction over valid years even if the notice is invalid for other years.

### **Summary**

In *Stamm International Corp. v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court held that a statutory notice of deficiency could be divisible, meaning it could be valid for some tax years but not others. The case involved notices sent for the tax years 1978, 1979, 1980, and 1981. The notice for 1978 was deemed a second, invalid notice, yet the court maintained jurisdiction over the other years. This decision hinged on the principle that each tax year constitutes a separate cause of action, allowing the court to adjudicate valid claims despite invalid ones within the same notice. The ruling clarifies that a single notice covering multiple years does not need to be wholly valid or invalid, impacting how tax practitioners handle notices and petitions.

### **Facts**

*Stamm International Corp.* received a statutory notice of deficiency on December 8, 1983, for the tax years ending June 30, 1978, 1979, 1980, and 1981. Prior to this, the corporation had received and petitioned a notice for 1978 dated November 3, 1983. The December notice was deemed a second notice for 1978, thus invalid for that year. *Stamm* moved to dismiss the case, arguing the entire December notice was invalid because it included an invalid notice for 1978. The Commissioner argued the notice was divisible and valid for the other years.

### **Procedural History**

The Tax Court initially received multiple notices and petitions related to the tax years in question. The court dismissed a petition (docket No. 4865-84) related solely to 1978 from the December notice, as it was a second notice for that year. The court then addressed *Stamm's* motion to dismiss the entire case (docket No. 5543-84) based on the divisibility of the December notice across the four tax years.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether a statutory notice of deficiency that is invalid for one tax year is wholly invalid for all years included in the notice?

### **Holding**

1. No, because a statutory notice of deficiency is divisible, allowing the Tax Court to retain jurisdiction over valid years even if the notice is invalid for other years.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court reasoned that a statutory notice of deficiency is a jurisdictional prerequisite to a taxpayer's suit in the Tax Court. The court cited *Olsen v. Helvering*, stating that a notice need only unequivocally advise the taxpayer of the Commissioner's intent to assess a deficiency. The court emphasized that each tax year constitutes a separate cause of action, as established in *Commissioner v. Sunnen*. Referencing *Baron v. Commissioner*, the court argued that a notice sent jointly to multiple taxpayers could be valid for some but not others, extending this principle to multiple tax years within a single notice. The court concluded that the December notice was divisible, valid for 1979, 1980, and 1981, despite being invalid for 1978.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision has significant implications for tax practitioners and the IRS. It clarifies that a single notice covering multiple tax years can be partially valid, allowing taxpayers to contest deficiencies for valid years without losing their right to challenge the notice for invalid years. Practitioners should carefully review notices to determine the validity for each year and consider filing separate petitions where necessary. The ruling also affects IRS procedures, encouraging the service to issue notices more carefully to avoid invalidating entire notices due to errors in one year. Subsequent cases, such as *S-K Liquidating Co. v. Commissioner*, have applied this divisibility principle, reinforcing its impact on tax litigation.