Durovic v. Commissioner, 84 T. C. 101 (1985)

The court upheld the use of the free exchange rate for converting foreign currency costs and established that noncompliance with court orders can lead to severe sanctions, including findings of fraud.

Summary

In Durovic v. Commissioner, the court addressed the calculation of cost of goods sold for Krebiozen, a drug sold by the partnership Duga Laboratories, and the validity of tax deductions claimed by Stevan Durovic. The court reaffirmed the use of the free exchange rate for converting costs from Argentine pesos to U. S. dollars. It also rejected the IRS's attempt to prove fraud for the years 1954-1959 but upheld fraud penalties for 1960-1964 due to Durovic's noncompliance with court orders to produce bank records. The case highlights the importance of adherence to court orders in tax litigation and the complexities of determining cost of goods sold in international transactions.

Facts

Stevan Durovic and his brother Marko operated Duga Laboratories, which sold Krebiozen, a drug developed by Stevan. From 1954 to 1959, Duga reported its cost of goods sold based on costs accumulated for an original supply of 200,000 ampules of Krebiozen. These costs included expenses incurred in Argentina and the U. S. The IRS challenged these figures and the exchange rate used to convert Argentine pesos to dollars. Additionally, Durovic failed to produce requested bank records, leading to court-imposed sanctions.

Procedural History

The case originated with IRS notices of deficiency for Durovic's tax years 1954-1964. Prior litigation involving Marko Durovic established some facts about the cost of goods sold and the use of the free exchange rate. In this case, the Tax Court reviewed these issues and also addressed Durovic's noncompliance with discovery orders, resulting in sanctions that deemed certain facts established, including fraud for the years 1960-1964.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the costs included in Duga's cost of goods sold for 1954-1959 should be upheld, and if so, what exchange rate should be used to convert those costs into dollars?
2. Whether Durovic is liable for additions to tax for failure to file timely declarations of estimated tax for 1954 and for underpayment of estimated tax for 1955-1958?
3. Whether Durovic is liable for additions to tax for fraud for the taxable years 1954-1959?
4. Whether the court's sanctions for Durovic's failure to comply with discovery

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

orders should be upheld?

Holding

1. Yes, because the court adhered to the findings from prior litigation regarding the cost of goods sold and the use of the free exchange rate.
2. No, because Durovic was not liable for the 1954 addition to tax due to reasonable reliance on his accountant, but Yes, because he was liable for the 1955-1958 additions to tax under section 6654, as the statute does not allow for reasonable cause exceptions.
3. No, because the IRS failed to prove fraud for 1954-1959.
4. Yes, because Durovic's failure to comply with court orders to produce bank records justified the imposition of severe sanctions, including deeming facts established that supported fraud for 1960-1964.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the principle of stare decisis to uphold the cost of goods sold and the free exchange rate established in prior litigation. It rejected the IRS's new evidence as insufficient to overturn these findings. The court found that Durovic's reliance on his accountant was reasonable for the 1954 tax year but that section 6654 mandated additions to tax for 1955-1958. The court also held that the IRS did not meet its burden of proof for fraud in 1954-1959. However, the court upheld sanctions for Durovic's failure to comply with discovery orders, citing the necessity of enforcing court orders to ensure a fair trial. The court emphasized that Durovic's noncompliance justified deeming facts established, which supported the IRS's fraud claims for 1960-1964.

Practical Implications

This decision underscores the importance of accurate cost accounting and currency conversion in international tax matters. Taxpayers should ensure that costs are properly allocated and documented, and the free exchange rate should be used when converting foreign currency to U. S. dollars. The case also highlights the severe consequences of noncompliance with court orders in tax litigation, as failure to produce requested records can lead to sanctions that significantly impact the outcome of the case. Practitioners should advise clients to fully comply with discovery requests to avoid adverse findings. Subsequent cases have cited Durovic for its stance on sanctions and the application of the free exchange rate in tax disputes.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.