Estate of Nelson A. Rockefeller, Deceased, Laurance S. Rockefeller, J. Richardson Dilworth, and Donal C. O'Brien, Jr. , Executors, and Margaretta F. Rockefeller, Petitioners v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 83 T. C. 368 (1984)

Expenses incurred in seeking to attain public office, such as confirmation hearings, are not deductible under IRC Section 162 as business expenses.

Summary

Following President Ford's nomination of Nelson Rockefeller to be Vice President under the 25th Amendment, Rockefeller incurred significant expenses during his confirmation hearings. The estate sought to deduct these expenses as business expenses under IRC Section 162. The Tax Court held that such expenses, incurred in the effort to attain public office rather than in performing the functions of that office, were not deductible. The court relied on the precedent established in McDonald v. Commissioner, which disallowed deductions for expenses related to obtaining public office, and emphasized that Section 162(e) did not apply because the expenses were not incurred in carrying on an existing trade or business.

Facts

On August 20, 1974, President Gerald Ford nominated Nelson A. Rockefeller to serve as Vice President of the United States under Section 2 of the 25th Amendment. Rockefeller underwent extensive investigations and hearings by federal agencies and congressional committees to assess his qualifications for the position. He incurred expenses totaling $550,159. 78 related to these confirmation proceedings, including legal and professional fees, travel, office rentals, and other costs. Rockefeller served as Vice President from December 19, 1974, to January 20, 1977. His estate later sought to deduct these confirmation expenses on his 1975 federal income tax return.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed the deduction, leading to a deficiency determination. Rockefeller's estate filed a petition with the United States Tax Court challenging the deficiency and claiming an overpayment. The case was submitted to the court on stipulated facts and briefs, and the Tax Court issued its decision on September 24, 1984, ruling in favor of the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

1. Whether expenses incurred by Nelson Rockefeller in connection with his confirmation hearings as Vice President are deductible under IRC Section 162(a) as ordinary and necessary business expenses.
2. Whether these expenses are deductible under IRC Section 162(e) as expenses incurred in carrying on a trade or business in connection with appearances before

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

committees of Congress.

Holding

1. No, because the expenses were incurred in the effort to attain the Vice Presidency, not in performing the functions of the office, following the precedent set in McDonald v. Commissioner.
2. No, because Section 162(e) applies only to expenses incurred in carrying on an existing trade or business, and Rockefeller's confirmation expenses were not incurred in an existing business.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court applied the principles from McDonald v. Commissioner, which disallowed deductions for election expenses, to Rockefeller's confirmation expenses. The court reasoned that these expenses were incurred to obtain the office of Vice President, not in the performance of its functions. The court rejected the argument that holding various public offices constituted a single trade or business under Section 162(a). It emphasized that each public office is a separate trade or business, and expenses to attain one office are not deductible. The court also found that Section 162(e) did not apply, as it requires the expenses to be incurred in an existing trade or business, which was not the case here. The court highlighted policy concerns about allowing deductions for expenses related to obtaining public office, noting that such a rule could lead to deductions for political self-promotion and would be better addressed by Congress.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that expenses incurred in the process of obtaining public office, including nomination and confirmation proceedings, are not deductible under Section 162 of the IRC. Legal practitioners should advise clients that only expenses incurred in the performance of the functions of a public office are deductible, not those related to attaining the office. This ruling impacts how politicians and public officials approach their tax planning, as it limits potential deductions for costs associated with political campaigns or confirmation processes. The decision also underscores the need for clear legislative guidance on the deductibility of such expenses, as the court noted the policy issues involved. Subsequent cases have generally followed this precedent, reinforcing the distinction between expenses for obtaining office and those for performing official duties.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.