

Sallies v. Commissioner, 83 T. C. 44 (1984)

Payments by a buyer to extinguish a seller's liabilities in an installment sale are considered payments received by the seller in the year of sale, impacting the eligibility for installment method reporting.

Summary

In *Sallies v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court held that when a buyer pays off a seller's mortgage and promissory note at the closing of an installment sale, these payments count towards the 30% threshold for the year of sale under the installment method. Robert and Margie Sallies sold real estate to James Newspapers, Inc. , which paid off the Sallies' existing debts as part of the transaction. Despite the parties' intent to structure the sale to qualify for installment reporting, the court ruled that the debt payments were payments in the year of sale, disqualifying the transaction from installment treatment under the law applicable at the time.

Facts

Robert and Margie Sallies sold their business real estate to James Newspapers, Inc. for \$270,000 under an installment sale agreement. At the closing, the buyer paid off the Sallies' existing mortgage of \$76,037. 76 and a promissory note of \$17,128. 32. The buyer also made a direct payment of \$26,833. 92 to the Sallies. The parties intended the transaction to qualify for installment method reporting, aiming for the downpayment to be no more than 29% of the total purchase price.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in the Sallies' 1976 federal income tax, asserting that the sale did not qualify for installment method reporting due to exceeding the 30% payment threshold in the year of sale. The Sallies petitioned the Tax Court, which held that the payment of their liabilities by the buyer constituted a payment in the year of sale, thus affirming the deficiency.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the buyer's payment of the seller's mortgage and promissory note at the closing of an installment sale constitutes a payment received by the seller in the year of sale?

Holding

1. Yes, because the extinguishment of the seller's debts by the buyer at the closing is equivalent to a payment received by the seller in the year of sale, as per the applicable tax laws.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the general rule that the entire gain from the sale of property is taxed in the year of sale, with the installment method being an exception under certain conditions. Section 453(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 allowed installment reporting only if payments in the year of sale did not exceed 30% of the selling price. The court cited precedents such as *Maddox v. Commissioner* and *Bostedt v. Commissioner*, which established that when a buyer pays off a seller's debts, it is treated as a payment to the seller. The court emphasized that the benefit to the seller was the same as if they had received cash and then paid off the debts. Despite the parties' intent to structure the transaction to qualify for installment treatment, the court ruled that the actual payments, including the debt extinguishment, exceeded the 30% threshold, disqualifying the sale from installment method reporting.

Practical Implications

This decision underscores the importance of understanding how debt payments by a buyer are treated in installment sales. Practitioners must carefully structure transactions to avoid inadvertently disqualifying them from installment reporting. The ruling affects how similar cases should be analyzed, particularly in ensuring that any payments, including debt extinguishments, are considered in calculating the 30% threshold. The decision also highlights the narrow construction of exceptions to the general tax rules, reminding taxpayers that intent alone cannot override statutory requirements. Subsequent changes to the tax law eliminated the 30% rule, but for transactions before this amendment, the ruling remains significant.