National Tea Co. v. Commissioner, 83 T. C. 8 (1984)

In an F reorganization, a post-merger net operating loss can only be carried back to a pre-merger year of the transferor if the loss is attributable to the business formerly operated by the transferor.

Summary

National Tea Co. merged with its subsidiary, National Supermarkets, Inc. , in a transaction deemed an F reorganization under IRC §368(a)(1)(F). National Tea then sought to carry back a net operating loss from the post-merger year to a pre-merger year of the subsidiary. The Tax Court ruled against National Tea, holding that the loss could not be carried back because none of it was attributable to the business formerly operated by the subsidiary. The court upheld the IRS's loss-tracing requirement, which restricts carrybacks to losses generated by the transferor's pre-merger business activities.

Facts

On December 26, 1974, National Supermarkets, Inc. (Supermarkets), a subsidiary owned 99. 98% by National Tea Co. (National Tea), merged into National Tea. This merger was classified as an F reorganization under IRC §368(a)(1)(F). For the taxable year ending December 28, 1974, National Tea and its affiliates, including the former Supermarkets, reported a consolidated net operating loss of $3,304,858. None of this loss was attributable to the New Orleans regional operation previously run by Supermarkets. National Tea sought to carry back this loss to Supermarkets' pre-merger taxable year ending April 1, 1972, to claim a refund, but the IRS disallowed this carryback.

Procedural History

National Tea filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court challenging the IRS's disallowance of the carryback. The IRS conceded that the merger qualified as an F reorganization but argued that the carryback was invalid because the loss was not attributable to Supermarkets' pre-merger business. The Tax Court agreed with the IRS and ruled against National Tea.

Issue(s)

1. Whether, following an F reorganization, a net operating loss of the acquiring corporation may be carried back to a pre-reorganization year of the transferor corporation if no portion of the loss is attributable to the business formerly operated by the transferor?

Holding

1. No, because the loss must be attributable to the business formerly operated by

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

the transferor corporation to qualify for a carryback under the IRS's loss-tracing requirement, which is supported by legislative history and judicial precedents.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court's decision hinged on the IRS's loss-tracing requirement established in Revenue Ruling 75-561, which was upheld as consistent with the legislative intent behind IRC §381(b)(3). This section generally prohibits carrybacks of post-reorganization losses to pre-reorganization years of the transferor, except in F reorganizations. However, the court found that the exception for F reorganizations does not grant an automatic right to carry back losses but is subject to the condition that the loss must stem from the business of the transferor corporation. The court referenced legislative history indicating that the exception for F reorganizations was intended for scenarios involving a single corporation's tax history, not for multicorporate mergers. Additionally, the court cited judicial precedents such as *Estate of Stauffer v. Commissioner* and *Home Construction Corp. of America v. United States*, which supported the loss-tracing rule. The court concluded that allowing National Tea to carry back its consolidated loss, which was not connected to Supermarkets' pre-merger operations, would contravene the principles established in *Libson Shops, Inc. v. Koehler*, which prevents windfalls from corporate mergers.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that in F reorganizations involving multiple corporations, the loss-tracing rule applies, restricting carrybacks to losses directly attributable to the transferor's pre-merger business. Legal practitioners must ensure that they can trace any claimed carryback loss to the specific business operations of the transferor corporation before the reorganization. This ruling impacts tax planning in corporate mergers, particularly where the intent is to utilize tax attributes from pre-merger years. It also influences how businesses structure their reorganizations to avoid unintended tax consequences. Subsequent cases have reinforced this ruling, emphasizing the importance of accurate loss attribution in F reorganizations.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.