Consolidated Industries, Inc. v. Commissioner, 82 T. C. 477 (1984)

A contested federal tax deduction leads to a contested state tax deduction under a piggy-back tax system, preventing the accrual of additional state tax in the year to which it relates.

Summary

Consolidated Industries, Inc. , contested the IRS's disallowance of part of its 1976 deduction for officers' salaries. This adjustment increased its federal taxable income, triggering additional Connecticut corporate tax liability under the state's piggy-back system. The Tax Court held that Consolidated could not accrue this additional state tax in 1976 because the underlying federal deduction was contested, effectively contesting the state liability as well. The decision underscores the interrelationship between federal and state tax liabilities under piggy-back systems and the impact of contesting federal adjustments on state tax accruals.

Facts

Consolidated Industries, Inc. , a Connecticut corporation using the accrual method of accounting, elected subchapter S status for 1976. It claimed a significant deduction for officers' salaries on its federal and state tax returns. The IRS disallowed part of this deduction in 1980, increasing Consolidated's federal taxable income for 1976. Consolidated contested this adjustment. In 1983, a settlement was reached, agreeing to disallow approximately 37% of the original deduction. Due to Connecticut's piggy-back tax system, this federal adjustment necessitated an amended state return showing an additional state tax liability for 1976, which Consolidated paid in 1982.

Procedural History

The IRS issued deficiency notices in 1980 disallowing part of Consolidated's officers' salary deduction. Consolidated and its shareholders filed petitions with the U. S. Tax Court in 1980 contesting these deficiencies. In 1983, the parties settled the compensation issue, and Consolidated filed an amended Connecticut return reflecting the federal adjustment. The Tax Court then considered whether Consolidated could accrue the additional state tax in 1976.

Issue(s)

1. Whether an accrual method corporate taxpayer may deduct in 1976 additional state tax due for 1976 as a result of a 1983 contested adjustment to its 1976 federal taxable income.

Holding

1. No, because the underlying federal deduction was contested, effectively

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

contesting the state tax liability as well, which precludes accrual of the additional state tax in 1976.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the "all events" test from *United States v. Anderson* and the "no contest" rule from *Dixie Pine Products Co. v. Commissioner*. It found that Connecticut's piggy-back tax system inextricably linked federal and state tax liabilities, making a contest of a federal deduction a contest of the state deduction. The court cited prior cases like *Curran Realty Co. v. Commissioner* and *Chesbro v. Commissioner*, which supported the principle that a contested federal adjustment prevents the accrual of related state taxes in the original year. The court rejected Consolidated's arguments based on *Hollingsworth v. United States* and *Uncasville Mfg. Co. v. Commissioner*, distinguishing those cases due to the independent nature of the federal and state assessments or their pre-dating the establishment of the "no contest" rule.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that under a piggy-back state tax system, contesting a federal tax adjustment effectively contests the related state tax liability. Taxpayers must consider the timing of deductions for state taxes resulting from federal adjustments, especially when those adjustments are contested. The ruling impacts tax planning for corporations in states with piggy-back systems, requiring them to accrue additional state taxes only after federal disputes are resolved or when the state tax is paid. It also influences how tax practitioners advise clients on the accrual of state taxes and the potential benefits of settling federal tax disputes promptly to secure state tax deductions.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.