P. L. L. Scholarship Fund v. Commissioner, 82 T. C. 196 (1984)

An organization's fundraising activities must primarily further exempt purposes to qualify for tax-exempt status under IRC Section 501(c)(3).

Summary

The P. L. L. Scholarship Fund, formed to provide college scholarships, sought tax-exempt status under IRC Section 501(c)(3). The fund's primary activity was operating bingo games at the Pastime Lounge, a for-profit business. The Tax Court denied exemption, ruling that the fund's activities substantially benefited the lounge's business, not the exempt purpose of providing scholarships. The court emphasized that an organization must be operated exclusively for exempt purposes, and the bingo operations were deemed to serve private interests rather than public good.

Facts

P. L. L. Scholarship Fund was incorporated as a nonprofit in Iowa to raise money for college scholarships, primarily through bingo games conducted at the Pastime Lounge. The lounge's owners operated the games and solicited food and beverage sales to players. The fund's board included the lounge's owners and directors, with future board members appointed by the lounge's board. The fund sought tax-exempt status but was denied by the IRS, prompting this appeal.

Procedural History

The P. L. L. Scholarship Fund applied for tax-exempt status under IRC Section 501(c)(3). The IRS issued a final adverse determination letter denying the application. The fund then petitioned the U. S. Tax Court for a declaratory judgment on its exempt status.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the P. L. L. Scholarship Fund was operated exclusively for exempt purposes under IRC Section 501(c)(3).

Holding

1. No, because the fund's primary activity of operating bingo games at the Pastime Lounge was substantially for the benefit of the lounge's business rather than for the exempt purpose of providing scholarships.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the operational test under IRC Section 501(c)(3) and its regulations, which require an organization to be operated primarily for exempt

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

purposes. The court found that the bingo games constituted a regular trade or business unrelated to exempt purposes. The fund's activities were deemed to substantially benefit the Pastime Lounge by attracting customers to purchase food and beverages. The court emphasized that the fund's operations were inseparable from the lounge's, with the fund's board controlled by the lounge's directors. The court concluded that the fund did not meet the requirement of serving a public rather than a private interest, as required by the regulations. The court quoted from the regulations: "An organization will not be [regarded as operated exclusively for exempt purposes] if more than an insubstantial part of its activities is not in furtherance of an exempt purpose. "

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that organizations seeking tax-exempt status under IRC Section 501(c)(3) must ensure their fundraising activities primarily further their exempt purposes. Nonprofits must avoid substantial non-exempt activities, especially those benefiting private interests. The ruling impacts how nonprofits structure their fundraising efforts, particularly when using for-profit venues. It may lead to increased scrutiny of nonprofit activities by the IRS to ensure they align with exempt purposes. Subsequent cases have cited this decision when analyzing the operational test for tax-exempt status, reinforcing its significance in nonprofit law.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.