Westerdahl v. Commissioner, 80 T. C. 42 (1983)

Swedish marital property law grants spouses a present vested interest in each other's earnings, allowing nonresident aliens to report only half of their U. S. income for tax purposes.

Summary

In Westerdahl v. Commissioner, the Tax Court ruled on whether nonresident alien taxpayers, domiciled in Sweden, could split their U. S. earned income with their spouses under Swedish marital law. The court examined the Swedish Marriage Code to determine if it established a community property system similar to recognized U. S. states. It concluded that Swedish law grants a present vested interest in marital property, akin to community property, allowing each petitioner to report only half of their U. S. income. This decision clarified the recognition of foreign marital property systems for U. S. tax purposes, impacting how nonresident aliens with similar marital property laws could report their income.

Facts

Lars Westerdahl and Benkt Holmgren, both Swedish citizens and nonresident aliens, worked in the U. S. for IBM on L-1 visas. They reported only half of their U. S. earnings on their tax returns, claiming that their wives had a present vested interest in their income under Swedish law. The IRS disallowed this split, arguing that Swedish law did not establish a present vested interest in a spouse's earnings. The petitioners argued that Swedish law was comparable to U. S. community property laws, thus justifying the income split.

Procedural History

The IRS issued deficiency notices to both petitioners for failing to report their full U. S. income. The petitioners challenged these notices before the U. S. Tax Court, which then considered whether Swedish marital law established a community property system for U. S. tax purposes.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Swedish marital law grants a spouse a present vested interest in the earnings of the other spouse, akin to community property recognized in the U. S.

Holding

1. Yes, because the Swedish Marriage Code embodies attributes of a community property system, granting spouses a present vested interest in each other's earnings, allowing nonresident aliens to split their U. S. income for tax reporting.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The Tax Court analyzed the Swedish Marriage Code, focusing on the concept of "giftoratt," which gives each spouse a right to marital property. The court compared Swedish law to U. S. community property systems, noting similarities in protecting spousal interests at death or divorce and preventing mismanagement. The court found that Swedish law met the criteria established in Poe v. Seaborn, which required legal assurance of testamentary disposition and limitations on the managing spouse's control. The court emphasized that no single factor was determinative, but the overall system suggested a present vested interest in marital property. The court rejected the IRS's argument that Swedish law created only a deferred interest, finding that the Swedish system's attributes aligned with recognized U. S. community property jurisdictions.

Practical Implications

This ruling has significant implications for nonresident aliens from countries with similar marital property laws, allowing them to report only half of their U. S. income for tax purposes. It sets a precedent for recognizing foreign marital property systems, potentially affecting tax planning for international couples. Legal practitioners must consider foreign marital laws when advising clients on U. S. tax obligations. Subsequent cases may need to apply this analysis to other foreign jurisdictions. The decision also highlights the importance of comparing foreign laws to established U. S. community property principles when determining tax treatment of income.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.