Lipke v. Commissioner, 81 T. C. 689 (1983)

Section 706(c)(2)(B) prohibits retroactive allocation of partnership losses when they result from additional capital contributions, regardless of whether the contributions are made by new or existing partners.

Summary

In Lipke v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled on the retroactive allocation of partnership losses following additional capital contributions to Marc Equity Partners I. The partnership had reallocated 98% of its 1975 losses to new and existing partners who contributed capital, which the court disallowed under Section 706(c)(2)(B). The court found that the reallocation to general partners, not tied to additional contributions, was permissible. The decision underscores that partnerships cannot retroactively allocate losses based on new capital contributions, emphasizing the importance of adhering to the 'varying interest' rules during a partnership's taxable year.

Facts

Marc Equity Partners I, a limited partnership formed in 1972, faced financial difficulties in 1974 and 1975. To prevent foreclosure, on October 1, 1975, six original limited partners, one general partner, and three new partners contributed $300,000. An amendment to the partnership agreement reallocated 98% of the 1975 losses to these 'Class B' limited partners and 2% to the general partners. The partnership reported $933,825 in losses for 1975, which were subsequently adjusted to $849,724.

Procedural History

The Commissioner disallowed the portion of the losses allocated to the Class B limited partners that were accrued before October 1, 1975. The petitioners contested this disallowance at the U. S. Tax Court, which heard the case and issued its decision on October 5, 1983.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the partnership's retroactive reallocation of losses to both new and existing partners was allowable under Section 706(c)(2)(B)?
2. Whether the partnership can now use the 'year-end totals' method of accounting to allocate its 1975 losses ratably over the year?

Holding

1. No, because the reallocation to the Class B limited partners resulted from additional capital contributions, which contravened Section 706(c)(2)(B). Yes, the reallocation to the general partners was permissible as it did not result from

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

additional capital contributions.
2. No, because the partnership's interim closing of its books provided a clear allocation of losses, and the 'year-end totals' method was not justified.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied Section 706(c)(2)(B), which requires partners to account for their varying interests in the partnership during the taxable year. The court relied on *Richardson v. Commissioner*, affirming that the section applies to new partner admissions and additional capital contributions. The court rejected the petitioners' argument to overrule *Richardson*, finding no distinction between reductions in partners' interests from new partner admissions and from existing partners' contributions. The reallocation to the general partners was upheld as it was not tied to additional contributions, constituting a permissible readjustment among existing partners. The court also rejected the use of the 'year-end totals' method, as the partnership's interim closing of the books provided a clear and accurate allocation of losses.

Practical Implications

This decision reinforces the principle that partnerships cannot retroactively allocate losses based on additional capital contributions, impacting how partnerships structure and amend their agreements. Legal practitioners must advise clients on the timing and impact of capital contributions on loss allocations. The ruling affects tax planning strategies, requiring partnerships to carefully consider the tax consequences of new investments or partner admissions. Subsequent cases like *Hawkins v. Commissioner* and *Snell v. United States* have applied and supported this interpretation, solidifying the rule's application in partnership tax law.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.