Champion International Corp. v. Commissioner, 81 T. C. 424 (1983)

A foreign tax credit under IRC Section 902(a)(1) must be reduced by a foreign subsidiary's net operating loss carryback for both the numerator and denominator of the credit computation formula.

Summary

Champion International Corp. received a dividend from its Canadian subsidiary, Weldwood, and claimed a foreign tax credit under IRC Section 902's deemed paid provisions. The issue was how to calculate this credit when Weldwood had a loss in 1970 that it carried back to 1969 under Canadian law, resulting in a tax refund. The Tax Court held that both the numerator and denominator of the Section 902(a)(1) formula must be reduced by the carryback loss to accurately reflect the foreign taxes paid on the distributed profits, ensuring the credit aligns with the purpose of preventing double taxation.

Facts

Champion International Corp. , a U. S. corporation, owned 74. 9% of Weldwood of Canada, Ltd. Weldwood earned profits in 1968 and 1969, but incurred a loss in 1970, which it carried back to 1969 under Canadian law, receiving a partial tax refund. In 1971, Weldwood paid a dividend to Champion, which claimed a foreign tax credit under IRC Sections 901 and 902(a)(1). The dispute centered on whether the 1970 loss carryback should affect the calculation of the foreign tax credit for the 1969 profits distributed in the 1971 dividend.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Champion's 1972 federal income tax, leading to a dispute over the amount of Canadian taxes deemed paid by Champion under IRC Section 902(a)(1). The case was heard by the U. S. Tax Court, which rendered its decision on September 20, 1983.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the 1970 net operating loss carryback should reduce Weldwood's 1969 accumulated profits for the numerator of the Section 902(a)(1) foreign tax credit computation formula.
2. Whether the same 1970 net operating loss carryback should reduce Weldwood's 1969 accumulated profits for the denominator of the Section 902(a)(1) foreign tax credit computation formula.

Holding

1. Yes, because the numerator reflects the dividends paid out of the foreign subsidiary's accumulated profits, which must be adjusted to account for the loss

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

carryback to accurately determine the source of the dividends.
2. Yes, because the denominator, representing the foreign subsidiary's accumulated profits in excess of taxes, must also be reduced by the loss carryback to ensure that the deemed paid credit accurately reflects the taxes paid on the distributed profits, consistent with the purpose of preventing double taxation.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that the term "accumulated profits" in Section 902(a)(1) must be consistently applied in both the numerator and denominator of the credit computation formula. The court emphasized that the statute's purpose is to prevent double taxation by allowing a credit for foreign taxes paid on distributed profits. The court rejected the Commissioner's argument that the loss carryback should only affect the numerator, as this would result in a deemed paid credit less than the actual taxes paid, contrary to the statute's purpose. The court also noted that the Commissioner's approach would leave some foreign taxes unapportioned among shareholders, further defeating the purpose of the credit. The court relied on the language of the statute, which refers to "such accumulated profits," indicating a consistent application throughout the computation.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that when calculating the deemed paid foreign tax credit under IRC Section 902(a)(1), both the numerator and denominator must be adjusted for foreign loss carrybacks. This ensures that the credit accurately reflects the foreign taxes paid on the distributed profits, aligning with the statute's purpose of preventing double taxation. Practitioners should apply this ruling when advising clients with foreign subsidiaries that have experienced losses and utilized carryback provisions under foreign tax laws. The decision also impacts multinational corporations by ensuring that they receive the full benefit of foreign tax credits, which can affect their tax planning and financial reporting. Subsequent cases have followed this ruling, reinforcing its application in similar scenarios.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.