

## ***Anthes v. Commissioner, 81 T. C. 1 (1983)***

An individual participating in a qualified pension plan cannot deduct contributions to an Individual Retirement Account (IRA).

### **Summary**

Carolyn Anthes, employed by Melrose-Wakefield Hospital, was an active participant in the hospital's qualified pension plan in 1978. Despite this, she contributed \$1,500 to an IRA and claimed a deduction. The Tax Court ruled that her participation in the qualified plan precluded her from deducting the IRA contribution. The court clarified that minimum funding standards under section 412 do not affect a plan's qualification status. Consequently, the court upheld the IRS's determination of a tax deficiency and imposed a 6% excise tax on the IRA contribution as an excess contribution.

### **Facts**

Carolyn Anthes was employed as an x-ray technologist by Melrose-Wakefield Hospital since May 1, 1972, and participated in the hospital's noncontributory defined benefit pension plan since October 1, 1973. In 1978, she worked 30-40 hours per week and over 1,000 hours for the year. The hospital made contributions to the plan on her behalf in 1977 and 1978. Despite being an active participant, Carolyn contributed \$1,500 to an IRA in April 1979, claiming this as a deduction on their 1978 tax return. The IRS disallowed the deduction and imposed a 6% excise tax on the IRA contribution.

### **Procedural History**

The Antheses filed a joint federal income tax return for 1978 and claimed a deduction for the IRA contribution. The IRS determined a deficiency and imposed an excise tax, which the Antheses contested. The case was heard by the U. S. Tax Court, where it was assigned to Special Trial Judge John J. Pajak. The Tax Court upheld the IRS's determination.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether Carolyn Anthes, as an active participant in a qualified pension plan, was entitled to deduct her \$1,500 contribution to an IRA for the tax year 1978.
2. Whether the 6% excise tax under section 4973(a) should be imposed on the IRA contribution as an excess contribution.

### **Holding**

1. No, because Carolyn Anthes was an active participant in a qualified pension plan during 1978, making her ineligible to deduct her IRA contribution under section 219(b)(2)(A)(i).

2. Yes, because the disallowed IRA contribution was an excess contribution subject to the 6% excise tax under section 4973(a).

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court relied on section 219(b)(2)(A)(i), which disallows IRA deductions for individuals participating in qualified plans. Carolyn Anthes was accruing benefits under the hospital's plan, even though her rights were forfeitable, making her an active participant. The court rejected the argument that the plan's alleged failure to meet minimum funding standards under section 412 affected its qualification status, noting that these standards apply post-qualification and are enforced through excise taxes on employers, not by disqualifying the plan. The court cited prior cases like *Orzechowski v. Commissioner* to support its ruling on active participation and upheld the excise tax on excess contributions.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that participation in a qualified pension plan precludes IRA deductions, even if participation is involuntary or the plan is overfunded. Tax practitioners must advise clients that they cannot claim IRA deductions while participating in qualified plans, regardless of their satisfaction with the plan. The ruling also highlights the distinction between funding standards and qualification requirements, affecting how tax professionals evaluate retirement plans. Subsequent legislative changes in 1981, allowing some deductions for IRA contributions by participants in qualified plans, were not made retroactive, emphasizing the importance of understanding the applicable law for each tax year.