

## ***Fuchs v. Commissioner, 83 T. C. 79 (1984)***

A dissolved but not terminated partnership must make a Section 1033 election for involuntary conversion of partnership property at the partnership level, not by individual partners.

### **Summary**

In *Fuchs v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court ruled that only the partnership can elect to defer gain under Section 1033(a) for the involuntary conversion of partnership property, even if the partnership has dissolved but not terminated. Dr. Morton Fuchs, a former partner, attempted to make this election individually after the partnership's medical building was condemned. The court clarified that the partnership continued to exist for tax purposes post-dissolution, and thus, Fuchs's individual election was invalid. This decision underscores the importance of the partnership's entity status for certain tax elections, impacting how partners handle involuntary conversions of partnership assets.

### **Facts**

Dr. Morton Fuchs was a 25% partner in a medical building partnership until he withdrew in 1969 due to disputes. The building was condemned in 1971, and Fuchs received his share of the proceeds. He attempted to elect under Section 1033(a) to defer recognition of the gain from the condemnation on his individual tax returns for 1971 and 1975. The partnership did not make this election. Fuchs continued to report partnership income on his individual returns until 1974 and received additional condemnation proceeds in 1975.

### **Procedural History**

The IRS issued notices of deficiency for the years 1971-1977, asserting that Fuchs was not entitled to defer the gain because the partnership did not make the Section 1033 election. Fuchs petitioned the Tax Court, which held that the election must be made at the partnership level, sustaining the IRS's determination.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether a partner who has withdrawn from a dissolved but not terminated partnership may individually make a Section 1033 election for the involuntary conversion of partnership property.

### **Holding**

1. No, because under Section 703(b), any election affecting the computation of taxable income from a partnership must be made by the partnership itself, even if the partnership has dissolved but not terminated for tax purposes.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court's decision hinged on the distinction between dissolution and termination of a partnership. Although Fuchs withdrew in 1969, causing the partnership to dissolve, it did not terminate under Section 708(b)(1)(A) until all partnership activities ceased, which was not until at least 1975. The court relied on Section 703(b), which mandates that elections affecting partnership taxable income must be made at the partnership level. This rule applies to dissolved but not terminated partnerships to prevent inconsistencies and potential abuse. The court cited prior cases like *McManus v. Commissioner* and *Demirjian v. Commissioner*, affirming that only the partnership can make the Section 1033 election. The court also referenced the Uniform Partnership Act to clarify that dissolution does not equate to termination, emphasizing the partnership's continued existence for tax purposes until its affairs are fully wound up.

## **Practical Implications**

This ruling clarifies that partners in a dissolved partnership must ensure that the partnership itself makes necessary tax elections like those under Section 1033(a). Practitioners should advise clients to maintain partnership-level decision-making for tax purposes even after dissolution until termination is complete. This case impacts how partnerships handle involuntary conversions and underscores the need for clear communication and action at the partnership level. Subsequent cases and IRS rulings have followed this precedent, reinforcing that partnership elections must be made by the partnership as an entity, not by individual partners, to avoid tax confusion and potential abuse.