Foster v. Commissioner, 80 T. C. 34 (1983)

Section 482 of the Internal Revenue Code can be applied to reallocate income among related entities to prevent tax evasion and clearly reflect income, even when property was previously acquired in a nonrecognition transaction.

Summary

In Foster v. Commissioner, the Tax Court upheld the IRS's use of Section 482 to reallocate income from the sale of lots in Foster City, California, from the Foster family's controlled corporations to their partnership. The Fosters had transferred land to these corporations to shift income and utilize net operating losses, aiming to minimize taxes. The court found these transfers were primarily tax-motivated, lacked a legitimate business purpose, and upheld the reallocations, affirming the broad discretion of the Commissioner under Section 482 to prevent tax evasion and ensure accurate income reporting.

Facts

The Fosters, a family partnership, developed Foster City, a planned community in California. They created several corporations to hold portions of the land, including the Alphabet Corporations for Neighborhood One and Foster Enterprises for Neighborhood Four. The partnership transferred land to these entities, which then sold lots and reported the income. The Fosters' tax advisor, Del Champlin, structured these transactions to minimize taxes by shifting income to entities with lower tax rates or net operating losses.

Procedural History

The IRS audited the Fosters' tax returns and issued a notice of deficiency, reallocating income from the Alphabet Corporations and Foster Enterprises back to the partnership under Section 482. The Fosters petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, challenging the reallocations and raising constitutional issues about Section 482. The Tax Court upheld the IRS's determinations.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Section 482 is unconstitutional as an invalid delegation of legislative power?
2. Whether the Commissioner's determinations under Section 482 are reviewable for abuse of discretion or pursuant to a lesser standard?
3. Whether Section 482 can be applied to a taxable disposition of property previously acquired in a nonrecognition transaction to prevent tax avoidance?
4. Whether the Commissioner abused his discretion in reallocating income from the Alphabet Corporations and Foster Enterprises to the Foster partnership?
5. In the alternative, whether the Foster partnership is an association taxable as a corporation?

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

6. In the alternative, whether Section 482 must be used to effect a consolidated return of the partnership with all related corporations involved in Foster City's development?

Holding

1. No, because Section 482 provides meaningful standards for the Commissioner's discretion and is judicially reviewable.
2. No, because the Commissioner's determinations under Section 482 are reviewed for abuse of discretion, requiring proof of being unreasonable, arbitrary, or capricious.
3. Yes, because Section 482 can be applied to reallocate income from a taxable disposition to prevent tax avoidance, even if the property was previously acquired in a nonrecognition transaction.
4. No, because the transfers to the Alphabet Corporations and Foster Enterprises were tax-motivated, lacked business purpose, and the Commissioner did not abuse his discretion in reallocating the income back to the partnership.
5. No, because the Foster partnership did not meet the criteria to be taxed as a corporation.
6. No, because Section 482 does not require the Commissioner to effect a consolidated return, and his failure to do so was not an abuse of discretion.

Court's Reasoning

The court rejected the Fosters' constitutional challenge to Section 482, finding it provided adequate standards and was subject to judicial review. It affirmed the standard of review as abuse of discretion, requiring the taxpayer to prove the Commissioner's determinations were unreasonable, arbitrary, or capricious. The court found Section 482 applicable to taxable dispositions following nonrecognition transactions, as it aims to prevent tax evasion and reflect true income. The Fosters' transfers to the Alphabet Corporations and Foster Enterprises were deemed tax-motivated, lacking business purpose, and thus justified the income reallocations. The court also rejected alternative arguments about the partnership's status and the need for consolidated returns, emphasizing the Commissioner's discretion in applying Section 482.

Practical Implications

This decision reinforces the IRS's authority under Section 482 to reallocate income among related entities to prevent tax evasion, even in complex real estate development scenarios. It highlights the importance of having a legitimate business purpose for transactions between related entities, as tax-motivated transfers can be disregarded. The case also serves as a reminder that nonrecognition transactions do not preclude subsequent Section 482 adjustments. Legal practitioners should carefully structure transactions to withstand scrutiny under Section 482, and businesses should be aware that the IRS can look through corporate structures to

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

reallocate income where necessary to reflect economic reality.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.