Lastarmco, Inc. v. Commissioner, 80 T. C. 818 (1983)

When calculating net operating loss, the dividends-received deduction should be taken last to avoid circularity in applying percentage-based limitations.

Summary

Lastarmco, Inc. challenged the IRS's method of calculating its taxable income for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1975, involving the interplay between the dividends-received deduction under section 243(a)(1) and the percentage depletion allowance under section 613A(c). The Tax Court held that the dividends-received deduction should be applied last when calculating net operating loss to prevent circularity in the application of percentage-based limitations. This decision was based on statutory interpretation and congressional intent to avoid the loss of deductions due to the order of application. The ruling clarified that Lastarmco had a net operating loss of $3,061 for the 1975 fiscal year, resulting in no deficiency in income tax for that year.

Facts

Lastarmco, Inc. , a Louisiana corporation, filed its tax returns for fiscal years ending June 30, 1972, and June 30, 1975. In 1975, Lastarmco received dividends amounting to $489,402 and was entitled to a percentage depletion allowance of $58,049 under section 613A(c). Both the dividends-received deduction and the percentage depletion allowance were subject to limitations based on taxable income. Lastarmco calculated its net operating loss by first applying the percentage depletion deduction, then the dividends-received deduction, resulting in a net operating loss of $3,061. The IRS disputed this method, arguing that the dividends-received deduction should be applied first, leading to a different calculation of taxable income.

Procedural History

Lastarmco filed a petition with the Tax Court challenging the IRS's determination of deficiencies in its federal income taxes for the fiscal years ended June 30, 1972, and June 30, 1975. The case was submitted fully stipulated under Rule 122. The IRS issued a Technical Advice Memorandum and Revenue Ruling 79-347, asserting that the dividends-received deduction should be applied first, which would result in taxable income for Lastarmco in 1975. The Tax Court ultimately ruled in favor of Lastarmco, holding that the dividends-received deduction should be applied last in calculating net operating loss.

Issue(s)

1. Whether, in calculating whether Lastarmco experienced a net operating loss in its fiscal year ended June 30, 1975, the dividends-received deduction should be taken before the percentage depletion allowance?
2. If there was no net operating loss in 1975, how should the limitations in sections

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

246(b)(1) and 613A(d)(1) be applied to Lastarmco's income and deductions for that year?

Holding

1. No, because the dividends-received deduction should be applied last to avoid circularity and align with congressional intent as reflected in section 170(b)(2)(B).
2. This issue was not addressed by the court as it held that Lastarmco had a net operating loss in 1975.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court emphasized the circularity created by the simultaneous application of percentage-based limitations on the dividends-received deduction and the percentage depletion allowance. The court relied on the legislative history of sections 172(d)(5) and 246(b)(2), which indicated that the full dividends-received deduction should be allowed without limitation when calculating net operating loss. The court also drew an analogy to section 170(b)(2)(B), which specifies that the charitable contribution deduction should be computed without regard to the dividends-received deduction. The court rejected the IRS's method of applying the dividends-received deduction first, as it would lead to the permanent loss of dividends-received deductions due to the presence of percentage depletion deductions, contrary to congressional intent. The court's decision was supported by the principle that all laws should be given a sensible construction, avoiding absurd consequences.

Practical Implications

This decision provides clarity on the order of deductions when calculating net operating loss, particularly when multiple percentage-based limitations are involved. It instructs practitioners to apply the dividends-received deduction last, ensuring that taxpayers do not lose deductions due to circular calculations. This ruling impacts how similar cases involving net operating losses and multiple deductions should be analyzed, emphasizing the importance of statutory interpretation and congressional intent. It also highlights the need for clear legislative guidance on the ordering of deductions to prevent future disputes. Subsequent cases have relied on this ruling to address similar issues of deduction ordering and net operating loss calculations.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.