Park v. Commissioner, 79 T.C. 255 (1982)

An alien is considered a U.S. resident for tax purposes if they are physically present in the U.S. and are not a mere transient or sojourner, assessed by examining their intentions regarding the length and nature of their stay, and the extent of their connections to the U.S., even if their visa status is temporary.

Summary

Tongsun Park, a citizen of South Korea, was determined by the IRS to be a U.S. resident for tax purposes during 1972-1975, and thus liable for taxes on worldwide income. Park contested, arguing nonresident alien status. The Tax Court examined Park's extensive business and personal activities in the U.S., including significant investments, property ownership, social engagements, and time spent in the U.S. Despite Park's visa status as a temporary visitor and business person, the court held that his substantial and continuous connections to the U.S. demonstrated residency for tax purposes, making him taxable on his global income.

Facts

Petitioner Tongsun Park, a South Korean citizen, entered the U.S. initially as a student in 1952. After periods of study and brief departures, he consistently returned to the U.S., primarily on temporary visas. During 1972-1975, the tax years in question, Park spent a significant amount of time in the U.S. each year, maintaining residences, engaging in substantial business investments through corporations he controlled (PDI, Suter's Tavern), and cultivating extensive social and political connections in Washington, D.C. His U.S. business activities included real estate holdings, restaurant and club management, and international consulting. Simultaneously, Park had significant business interests in Korea and elsewhere.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Park's federal income tax and additions to tax for the years 1972-1975. Park petitioned the Tax Court contesting this determination, specifically challenging his classification as a U.S. resident for tax purposes. The case was presented to the Tax Court to determine whether Park was a resident or nonresident alien during those years.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the petitioner, Tongsun Park, was a resident of the United States for Federal income tax purposes during the years 1972, 1973, 1974, and 1975, despite holding temporary visas and maintaining ties to Korea.

Holding

1. Yes, the Tax Court held that Tongsun Park was a resident of the United States

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

for Federal income tax purposes during 1972-1975 because his presence in the U.S. was not that of a mere transient or sojourner, given the duration and nature of his stay, his extensive U.S. business and personal connections, and integration into the U.S. community, which outweighed his temporary visa status.

Court's Reasoning

The court reasoned that residency for tax purposes depends on whether an alien is a "mere transient or sojourner," which is determined by their intentions regarding the length and nature of their stay in the U.S. The regulations state that one who comes to the U.S. for a definite purpose that may be promptly accomplished is a transient, but if the purpose requires an extended stay, and the alien makes their home temporarily in the U.S., they become a resident. The court emphasized that "some permanence of living within borders is necessary to establish residence." Despite Park's temporary visas, the court found "exceptional circumstances" rebutting the presumption of non-residency. The court highlighted:

- **Duration and Nature of Stay:** Park spent more time in the U.S. than any other country during the tax years.
- **Extensive U.S. Connections:** He owned multiple homes, had significant U.S. business investments and operations, and was deeply involved in Washington D.C.'s social and political circles.
- **Business Activities:** Park's U.S. businesses (Suter's, PDI) were substantial and required ongoing management and presence. The court quoted *Valley Finance, Inc. v. United States* to underscore Park's direct control over PDI.
- **Social Integration:** Listing in the "Social List of Washington, D.C." and active social life demonstrated assimilation into the community.
- **Rebuttal of Transient Status:** The court rejected Park's argument that his visits were for "definite purposes promptly accomplished," citing the complexity and long-term nature of his U.S. business and personal affairs. The court stated, "We do not think that the statute was intended to relieve aliens who engage in business and other activities as extensively as did petitioner. The length and nature of his presence in this country made him a resident."
- **Visa Status Not Determinative:** While acknowledging the regulation stating that limited visa stays imply non-residency, the court found "exceptional circumstances" due to Park's deep U.S. ties. The court noted Park's multiple-entry visas allowed him substantial freedom of movement, and immigration authorities did not restrict his stays.

The court concluded, "his United States homes, investments, business activities, and political, social, and other ties were so deep and extensive as to show that his stay in this country throughout 1972, 1973, 1974, and 1975, was 'of such an extended nature as to constitute him a resident.'"

Practical Implications

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Park v. Commissioner is a key case for determining U.S. residency for tax purposes for aliens. It clarifies that residency is not solely determined by visa status or declared intent but by a holistic evaluation of an individual's connections to the U.S. Attorneys should advise alien clients that maintaining substantial business interests, owning residences, spending significant time, and becoming socially integrated in the U.S. can establish tax residency, regardless of temporary visa classifications. This case emphasizes the importance of examining the substance of an alien's ties to the U.S. over the form of their immigration status when assessing tax obligations. It also highlights that "exceptional circumstances" can override the general presumption of non-residency for those with limited-period visas if their actual conduct and connections indicate a more permanent or extended relationship with the United States. Subsequent cases will analyze similar fact patterns with a focus on the depth and breadth of the alien's integration into the U.S. economic and social fabric.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.