Park v. Commissioner, 79 T. C. 252 (1982)

An alien's residency for U. S. tax purposes is determined by their intentions regarding the length and nature of their stay, not merely by their visa status.

Summary

Tongsun Park, a Korean citizen, challenged the IRS's determination that he was a U. S. resident for tax purposes from 1972 to 1975. Despite frequent travels and multiple entry visas, Park spent significant time in the U. S. , owned property, and engaged in extensive business activities. The Tax Court held that Park was a U. S. resident due to his deep ties and ongoing involvement in business, social, and political affairs in the U. S. , despite his Korean domicile. The decision emphasized that an alien's residency depends on their intentions and the nature of their stay, not just visa limitations.

Facts

Tongsun Park, born in Korea, entered the U. S. in 1952 for education and later engaged in various business activities. From 1972 to 1975, he spent significant time in the U. S. , owning homes in Washington, D. C. , and conducting business through corporations like Suter's Tavern and Pacific Development, Inc. (PDI). Park also maintained ties to Korea, including family and business interests, but spent increasingly less time there. He was involved in social and political activities in the U. S. , including hosting events for influential figures.

Procedural History

The IRS determined deficiencies in Park's federal income tax for the years 1972-1975, asserting he was a U. S. resident. Park petitioned the U. S. Tax Court for a redetermination, leading to a trial focused solely on his residency status. The court issued its opinion on August 10, 1982, holding that Park was a U. S. resident for the tax years in question.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Tongsun Park was a resident of the United States for federal income tax purposes during the years 1972, 1973, 1974, and 1975.

Holding

1. Yes, because Park's extended presence in the U. S. , his substantial business and personal ties, and his integration into the Washington, D. C. community demonstrated that he was not a mere transient or sojourner.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court applied the regulations under Section 871 of the Internal Revenue Code, which define a resident as someone not merely transient or sojourner. Park's multiple entry visas and frequent U. S. visits were considered, but the court focused on his intentions and the nature of his stay. Park's ownership of homes, extensive business activities, and social integration in the U. S. outweighed his ties to Korea. The court rejected Park's argument that his visa status precluded residency, citing "exceptional circumstances" due to his deep U. S. connections. The decision highlighted that an alien can be a resident of multiple countries and that visa limitations do not automatically determine residency for tax purposes.

Practical Implications

This case underscores the importance of an alien's intentions and activities in determining U. S. tax residency, beyond mere visa status. Practitioners should assess clients' ties to the U. S. , including property ownership, business activities, and social integration, when advising on residency status. The decision impacts how the IRS and taxpayers approach residency determinations, potentially affecting tax planning for aliens with significant U. S. connections. Subsequent cases have cited Park v. Commissioner to clarify the factors considered in residency determinations, emphasizing the holistic approach to assessing an alien's ties to the U. S.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.