

## ***Hauser v. Commissioner, 76 T. C. 957 (1981)***

An individual's eligibility for an IRA deduction under Section 219 is determined by their active participation status in a qualified plan as of the end of the tax year.

### **Summary**

In *Hauser v. Commissioner*, the court determined that Edward Hauser was eligible for a Section 219 deduction for his 1976 IRA contribution because he was not an active participant in his employer's pension plan at the end of 1976. Hauser, hired at age 56, was excluded from the plan under the 1975 rules due to mandatory retirement before vesting. Although the plan was retroactively amended in 1978 to comply with ERISA and would have included Hauser had it been effective in 1976, the court held that his active participant status must be assessed based on the plan's status at the end of 1976. The decision emphasizes that subsequent amendments to a pension plan do not retroactively affect IRA deduction eligibility for prior tax years.

### **Facts**

Edward Hauser was employed by Bethlehem Fabricators, Inc. from January 1, 1975, to December 27, 1976, as a sales manager. At age 56 when hired, Hauser was informed he would not be covered by the company's pension plan because he could not accumulate the required 15 years of service before mandatory retirement at age 65. The plan was amended in December 1975 to require 10 years of service for vesting, but Hauser still could not vest before mandatory retirement. In May 1976, employees were notified that the plan would be amended to comply with ERISA, effective October 1, 1976. Hauser contributed \$1,500 to an IRA in July 1976 and claimed a deduction on his 1976 tax return. In May 1978, the plan was amended retroactively to October 1, 1976, to comply with ERISA, which would have included Hauser as a participant had it been in effect in 1976.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in Hauser's 1976 federal taxes, disallowing his IRA deduction and asserting an excise tax for excess IRA contributions. Hauser petitioned the Tax Court, which heard the case and issued a decision in favor of Hauser, allowing the IRA deduction and dismissing the excise tax.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether Hauser was an active participant in a qualified pension plan for any part of 1976 under the 1975 plan rules?
2. Whether the retroactive amendment of the plan to comply with ERISA in 1978 affects Hauser's IRA deduction eligibility for 1976?

## **Holding**

1. No, because Hauser was excluded from the plan under the 1975 rules and could not accrue any benefits.
2. No, because Hauser's active participant status for 1976 must be determined based on the plan's status at the end of 1976, before the retroactive amendment.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied the legal rule that an individual is an active participant in a plan if they are accruing benefits, even if those benefits are forfeitable. Under the 1975 rules, Hauser was ineligible for any benefits due to the mandatory retirement policy, and thus not an active participant. The court rejected the Commissioner's argument that the 1978 retroactive amendment should deny Hauser the IRA deduction, emphasizing that active participant status must be determined as of the end of the tax year in question. The court cited legislative history and prior case law to support its conclusion that subsequent amendments do not retroactively affect IRA deduction eligibility. The court also noted the principle of annual tax accounting, which requires each year's return to be complete in itself, and the need for taxpayers to know their deduction eligibility at the time of filing.

## **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that an individual's eligibility for an IRA deduction under Section 219 is determined by their active participation status in a qualified plan at the end of the tax year, not by subsequent plan amendments. Practitioners should advise clients to assess their IRA deduction eligibility based on the plan's terms at the end of each tax year. The ruling supports the congressional intent to encourage retirement savings among those not covered by qualified plans and may impact how employers communicate plan changes to employees. Later cases have generally followed this principle, emphasizing the importance of the plan's status at the end of the tax year in question for IRA deduction purposes.