Chesapeake Financial Corporation v. Commissioner, 78 T. C. 869 (1982); 1982 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 92; 78 T. C. No. 61

An accrual basis taxpayer must recognize prepaid income in the year the right to receive it becomes fixed, even if services related to the income are to be performed in future years.

Summary

Chesapeake Financial Corporation, a mortgage banker, deferred recognition of commitment fees received from borrowers until the related permanent loans were funded, arguing that the fees were not earned until then. The Tax Court held that under the 'all events' test, these fees must be included in income in the year the borrower accepted Chesapeake's commitment, as all events fixing Chesapeake's right to receive the fees had occurred at that time. The court rejected Chesapeake's method of deferral, finding it did not clearly reflect income due to the inability to accurately match the fees with the services and expenses over multiple tax years.

Facts

Chesapeake Financial Corporation, an accrual basis taxpayer, was a mortgage banker that arranged construction and permanent financing for commercial projects. Chesapeake received commitment fees from borrowers upon acceptance of loan commitments, which were payable either at acceptance or shortly thereafter. Chesapeake deferred recognition of these fees until the permanent loans were funded, which typically occurred at the conclusion of construction, spanning two to five taxable periods. Chesapeake's method was advised by its independent certified public accountant and was based on the services it performed after receiving the fees, such as project monitoring and document processing.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Chesapeake's 1973, 1974, and 1975 federal income taxes, asserting that the commitment fees should be included in income when received. Chesapeake petitioned the U. S. Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiencies. The Tax Court reviewed the case and issued its opinion on May 27, 1982, holding that Chesapeake's method of deferring recognition of the commitment fees did not clearly reflect income.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Chesapeake Financial Corporation, an accrual basis taxpayer, was entitled to defer the recognition of permanent loan commitment fees until the related permanent loans were funded.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. No, because under the ‘all events’ test, Chesapeake’s right to receive the commitment fees was fixed when the borrower accepted the commitment, and deferral did not clearly reflect income.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied the ‘all events’ test, which requires income to be included in the taxable year when all events have occurred fixing the right to receive such income and the amount can be determined with reasonable accuracy. The court found that Chesapeake’s right to the commitment fees was fixed when the borrower accepted the commitment, as the fees were due and payable at that time and were not contingent on future funding. The court distinguished cases like Artnell and Boise Cascade, where deferral was allowed due to the ability to precisely match income with services rendered. In Chesapeake’s case, the services related to the fees were performed over multiple tax years, making accurate matching impossible. The court also rejected Chesapeake’s argument that the fees might need to be refunded if the loan was not funded, finding the contract did not support this and it was unlikely under the circumstances. The court concluded that Chesapeake’s method of deferring the fees did not clearly reflect income under Section 446(b) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that accrual basis taxpayers must recognize prepaid income when their right to receive it becomes fixed, even if related services will be performed in future years. It emphasizes the importance of the ‘all events’ test in determining when income is includable. Practically, this means that mortgage bankers and similar service providers must carefully assess when their right to fees is fixed and cannot defer recognition based on future service obligations unless they can precisely match the income with the services and expenses. This ruling may affect financial planning and tax strategies for businesses that receive prepaid income, as they must account for such income in the year received. Subsequent cases like RCA Corp. v. United States have followed this reasoning, reinforcing the principle that deferral of prepaid income is generally not permissible under the ‘all events’ test.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.