McQuiston v. Commissioner, 78 T. C. 807 (1982)

The U. S. Tax Court lacks the authority to award costs and attorneys' fees in tax litigation under existing statutes.

Summary

In McQuiston v. Commissioner, the petitioners sought costs and attorneys' fees from the U. S. Tax Court following a tax deficiency dispute. The court held that it lacked the statutory authority to award such fees or costs under either the Civil Rights Act or the Equal Access to Justice Act. The decision was based on the exclusion of the Tax Court from the definitions of agencies and courts covered by these statutes, emphasizing the court's status as an Article I court not subject to these provisions. This ruling clarifies the limitations on the Tax Court's powers in awarding litigation expenses, impacting how similar claims should be approached in future tax cases.

Facts

Petitioners J. H. McQuiston and Dorothy T. McQuiston filed a tax deficiency dispute with the U. S. Tax Court, challenging adjustments made by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue for the years 1967 and 1968. After partially substantiating their claims, the parties could not agree on the application of income averaging and net operating loss provisions. Following a decision in their favor, the McQuistons sought to recover costs and attorneys' fees, asserting entitlement under the Civil Rights Act and the Equal Access to Justice Act.

Procedural History

The McQuistons filed their original petition in the Tax Court on November 23, 1970, and an amended petition on January 5, 1971. After a trial and subsequent concessions, the court issued an opinion reflecting these concessions in 1977. Further computations led to another Tax Court opinion in 1981, determining an overpayment for 1967 and no deficiency for 1968. In December 1981, the petitioners applied for costs and attorneys' fees, leading to the court's decision in May 1982.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the U. S. Tax Court has the authority to award costs and attorneys' fees under the Civil Rights Act?
2. Whether the U. S. Tax Court has the authority to award costs and attorneys' fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act?

Holding

1. No, because the Tax Court is not empowered to award costs or attorneys' fees under the Civil Rights Act, as the relevant provision was amended to exclude tax litigation.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

2. No, because the Tax Court is an Article I court and thus falls outside the scope of the Equal Access to Justice Act, which applies to agencies and Article III courts.

Court’s Reasoning

The court’s decision hinged on statutory interpretation and its status as an Article I court. For the Civil Rights Act, the court noted that amendments explicitly excluded tax litigation from the scope of recoverable attorneys’ fees. The court cited prior cases like Key Buick Co. v. Commissioner to support its position that it lacked authority under this Act. Regarding the Equal Access to Justice Act, the court emphasized that it did not qualify as an “agency” under the Administrative Procedure Act, which excludes “courts of the United States. ” The Tax Court’s status as an Article I court meant it was not covered under the provisions of Title 28, which apply to Article III courts. The court also referenced legislative history and prior rulings like Nappi v. Commissioner and Sharon v. Commissioner to reinforce its position that it lacked jurisdiction to award costs or fees.

Practical Implications

This decision has significant implications for tax litigation. It clearly establishes that the U. S. Tax Court cannot award costs or attorneys’ fees under current statutes, affecting how taxpayers approach litigation and the potential costs involved. Practitioners must be aware that any expectation of recovering litigation expenses in the Tax Court is unfounded, potentially influencing settlement negotiations and the decision to litigate. The ruling also underscores the distinction between Article I and Article III courts in the context of fee awards, which may influence legislative efforts to address this gap in the Tax Court’s authority. Subsequent cases and legislative proposals have acknowledged and attempted to address this limitation, indicating ongoing efforts to potentially expand the Tax Court’s powers in this area.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.