

## ***Alonso v. Commissioner, 77 T. C. 603 (1981)***

A transferee may be liable for the transferor's unpaid taxes if the transfer of property to a tenancy by the entirety renders the transferor insolvent.

### **Summary**

In *Alonso v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court held that Ann T. Alonso was liable as a transferee for her deceased husband's unpaid federal income taxes when he transferred property into a tenancy by the entirety, leaving him insolvent. The court found that the transfer constituted a fraud on creditors under North Carolina law, making the transfer void. The decision hinges on the principles of transferee liability and the legal implications of tenancy by the entirety, emphasizing that such a transfer must be supported by adequate consideration to avoid liability.

### **Facts**

On April 3, 1973, Rudolph Charles Alonso, who owed substantial federal income taxes, transferred four parcels of real property he owned in fee simple to a third party, who then reconveyed the property to Alonso and his wife, Ann T. Alonso, as tenants by the entirety. This left Alonso without sufficient individual assets to cover his debts. Ann Alonso claimed that she provided consideration for the transfer through unpaid services, mortgage payments, and potential inheritance rights. Alonso died in 1975, leaving Ann as the sole owner of the property.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined that Ann Alonso was liable as a transferee for her husband's unpaid taxes. Ann Alonso filed a petition with the Tax Court challenging this determination. The Tax Court, after hearing the case, ruled in favor of the Commissioner, finding Ann Alonso liable for the full amount of the asserted transferee liability.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the creation of a tenancy by the entirety can result in transferee liability if it renders the transferor insolvent?
2. Whether Ann Alonso provided sufficient consideration for the transfer to avoid transferee liability?

### **Holding**

1. Yes, because the creation of a tenancy by the entirety that renders the transferor insolvent and constitutes a fraud on creditors under state law can result in transferee liability.
2. No, because Ann Alonso failed to prove she provided consideration in excess of \$25,225.21, which was necessary to avoid the asserted transferee liability.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court applied the principles of transferee liability under IRC section 6901, requiring proof of transfer, inadequate consideration, transferor's insolvency, and non-payment of taxes. The court found that the transfer of property into a tenancy by the entirety left Alonso insolvent, constituting a fraud on creditors under North Carolina law. This rendered the transfer void, leading to transferee liability for Ann Alonso. The court rejected Ann Alonso's claims of consideration, finding that she did not provide adequate proof of the value of her unpaid services, that post-transfer tax payments did not constitute consideration, and that her potential inheritance rights did not exceed the necessary threshold. The court relied on cases like *Irvine v. Helvering* and *Commissioner v. Stern* to support its holding that the creation of a tenancy by the entirety can lead to transferee liability if it results in the transferor's insolvency.

## **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that transferring property into a tenancy by the entirety to avoid creditors can lead to transferee liability if it leaves the transferor insolvent. Legal practitioners must advise clients considering such transfers to ensure they retain sufficient assets to cover their debts. The ruling impacts estate planning and asset protection strategies, particularly in jurisdictions recognizing tenancy by the entirety. It also serves as a precedent for future cases involving transferee liability and the adequacy of consideration in property transfers. Subsequent cases have cited *Alonso* to address similar issues, reinforcing its significance in tax law and property law.