

## ***Robinson v. Commissioner, 78 T. C. 550 (1982)***

Educational expenses are not deductible if they qualify the taxpayer for a new trade or business, even if the actual job duties remain similar.

### **Summary**

Elaine Robinson, a licensed practical nurse (LPN), sought to deduct expenses for a 4-year nursing degree program that qualified her as a registered nurse (RN). The Tax Court held that these expenses were not deductible because the education qualified her for a new trade or business. The court distinguished between LPNs and RNs based on the increased skills, responsibilities, and supervisory powers of RNs, concluding that Robinson's education led to a new trade or business under the applicable tax regulations.

### **Facts**

Elaine Robinson, a licensed practical nurse since 1964, worked part-time at St. Cloud Hospital while enrolled full-time in the University of Minnesota School of Nursing from 1974 to 1976. She completed a 4-year degree program in 1977, passed the Minnesota Registered Nurse Examination, and became a registered nurse. Robinson claimed deductions for educational expenses on her 1975 and 1976 tax returns, which the IRS disallowed, leading to this case.

### **Procedural History**

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency for Robinson's 1975 and 1976 tax returns, disallowing her claimed educational expense deductions. Robinson petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which upheld the IRS's determination and entered a decision for the respondent.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether a licensed practical nurse may deduct the costs of acquiring a 4-year degree from a school of nursing when such degree qualifies her as a registered nurse.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the education qualified the taxpayer for a new trade or business under section 1. 162-5(b)(3) of the Income Tax Regulations.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied section 1. 162-5 of the Income Tax Regulations, which allows deductions for educational expenses that maintain or improve skills required in one's trade or business but disallows deductions for expenses that qualify the

taxpayer for a new trade or business. The court found that becoming an RN involved significantly different tasks and responsibilities than being an LPN, as evidenced by Minnesota state law and hospital policies. RNs have greater independence, can perform supervisory roles, and require more intensive formal training than LPNs. The court cited prior cases like *Glenn v. Commissioner* and *Reisinger v. Commissioner* to support its conclusion that Robinson's education qualified her for a new trade or business. The court rejected Robinson's argument that her actual job duties remained the same, stating that the relevant inquiry is whether the education qualifies the taxpayer for a new trade or business, not whether the job duties change.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that educational expenses leading to qualification in a new trade or business are not deductible, even if the actual job duties remain similar. Legal practitioners advising clients on tax deductions for education must carefully analyze whether the education will qualify the client for a new trade or business. This ruling may affect healthcare workers and others seeking advanced qualifications in their field, as it underscores the importance of the distinction between different levels of licensure and their associated responsibilities. Future cases involving similar issues will likely apply the objective standard established in this case, focusing on the potential for new qualifications rather than actual changes in employment duties.