# International E22 Class Association v. Commissioner, 78 T. C. 93 (1982)

Tools used for enforcing competition rules, like master plugs and measurement templates, are not considered "athletic facilities or equipment" under IRC Section 501(c)(3).

### Summary

The International E22 Class Association sought tax-exempt status under IRC Section 501(c)(3) for fostering amateur sports competition. The IRS denied exemption, arguing that the Association's use of a master plug and measurement templates to enforce racing rules constituted providing "athletic facilities or equipment." The Tax Court disagreed, holding that these items were tools used for officiating and standardizing competition, not for athletic use. The decision clarified that such tools do not fall within the statutory exclusion for organizations providing athletic facilities or equipment, thus the Association qualified for exempt status.

#### Facts

The International E22 Class Association, formed to promote amateur yacht racing, sought tax-exempt status under IRC Section 501(c)(3). Its activities included enforcing one-design class rules for E22 yachts using a master plug and measurement templates. The master plug was used to ensure uniform hull shapes, while the templates were used to measure compliance with class specifications. The IRS initially denied exemption, citing private inurement and later, provision of athletic facilities or equipment.

## **Procedural History**

The Association applied for exempt status in 1977, which was denied by the IRS in 1978 due to private inurement. After amending the royalty agreement, the IRS raised the athletic facilities objection. No final determination was issued, leading the Association to seek a declaratory judgment in the Tax Court, which ruled in its favor in 1982.

## Issue(s)

1. Whether the Association's use of a master plug and measurement templates constitutes the provision of "athletic facilities or equipment" under IRC Section 501(c)(3).

## Holding

1. No, because the master plug and measurement templates are not "athletic facilities or equipment" as they are used solely for enforcing competition rules, not for athletic purposes.

#### **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court interpreted "athletic facilities or equipment" to mean items directly used in athletic endeavors, not tools for officiating or standardizing competition. The master plug and templates were used to ensure yachts conformed to racing specifications, not for athletic use. The court relied on the ordinary meaning of the term "athletic," citing Webster's Dictionary, and noted the legislative history of the statute aimed to exclude organizations like social clubs that provide facilities for members' use. The court found no evidence that Congress intended to include tools used for measurement and enforcement under this exclusion.

#### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that tools used for enforcing competition rules do not disqualify an organization from tax-exempt status under IRC Section 501(c)(3). It sets a precedent for other sports organizations using similar tools, allowing them to maintain exempt status while ensuring fair competition. The ruling may influence how sports associations structure their activities to avoid being classified as providing athletic facilities or equipment. Subsequent cases have followed this interpretation, further solidifying the distinction between equipment used in athletic performance and tools used for competition oversight.