Bared & Cobo Co. v. Commissioner, 77 T. C. 1194 (1981)

The issuance of a notice of deficiency by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue to a dissolved corporation constitutes the commencement of a 'proceeding' under state law, thereby preserving the corporation's capacity to litigate its tax liability.

Summary

Bared & Cobo Co. , a dissolved Florida corporation, received a notice of deficiency from the IRS within three years of its dissolution. The issue was whether this notice commenced an 'action or other proceeding' under Florida law, allowing the former officers to file a petition in the Tax Court. The court held that the notice did constitute such a proceeding, following the precedent set in Bahen & Wright, Inc. v. Commissioner. This decision ensures that dissolved corporations can defend against tax claims if the notice is issued within the statutory period, impacting how tax disputes with dissolved entities are handled.

Facts

Bared & Cobo Co. , Inc. , a Florida corporation, was dissolved on February 1, 1978. On January 27, 1981, the IRS issued a notice of deficiency to the corporation, addressing a tax deficiency and addition to tax for the period ending January 31, 1978. The notice was sent to the corporation in care of its former officers and attorney. Petitions contesting the deficiency were filed by the former officers and attorney between April 20 and April 27, 1981. The IRS moved to dismiss these petitions for lack of jurisdiction, arguing that the authority of the former officers to act on behalf of the dissolved corporation had expired.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency to Bared & Cobo Co. on January 27, 1981. Petitions were filed by the former officers and attorney of the corporation between April 20 and April 27, 1981. The IRS filed motions to dismiss these petitions for lack of jurisdiction, which were heard by Special Trial Judge Fred S. Gilbert, Jr. The Tax Court adopted Judge Gilbert's opinion and denied the motions to dismiss.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the issuance of a notice of deficiency by the IRS to a dissolved corporation constitutes an 'action or other proceeding' under Florida Statutes Annotated section 607. 297, thereby preserving the capacity of the corporation's former officers to file a petition in the Tax Court.

Holding

1. Yes, because the notice of deficiency issued by the IRS within three years of the corporation's dissolution was considered the commencement of a 'proceeding' under

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Florida law, following the precedent set in Bahen & Wright, Inc. v. Commissioner.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied Florida law, specifically Florida Statutes Annotated section 607. 297, which allows for remedies against a dissolved corporation if an ‘action or other proceeding’ is commenced within three years of dissolution. The court relied on the precedent set in Bahen & Wright, Inc. v. Commissioner, where the Fourth Circuit held that a notice of deficiency was the first step in a process to determine tax liability and thus constituted a ‘proceeding’ under a similar Delaware statute. The court reasoned that the issuance of the notice of deficiency to Bared & Cobo Co. within the three-year period was the commencement of the proceeding, preserving the corporation’s capacity to litigate through its former officers. The court also referenced American Standard Watch Co. v. Commissioner, where the Second Circuit supported a similar interpretation, emphasizing the need for a fair interpretation of statutes to ensure government revenue collection does not unfairly disadvantage taxpayers.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that the IRS’s issuance of a notice of deficiency to a dissolved corporation within the statutory period under state law initiates a ‘proceeding,’ allowing the corporation to defend against the tax claim through its former officers. Practically, this ruling impacts how tax disputes involving dissolved corporations are handled, ensuring that such corporations are not left defenseless against IRS claims if timely notices are issued. Legal practitioners must be aware of this ruling when representing dissolved corporations in tax matters, and it may influence how state statutes regarding corporate dissolution are interpreted in tax litigation. The decision also reinforces the principle that government revenue needs should not lead to overly restrictive interpretations of taxpayer rights.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.