

## ***David R. Webb Co. v. Commissioner, 77 T. C. 1134 (1981)***

Payments made by a successor corporation to fulfill an assumed pension liability of a predecessor are capital expenditures, not deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses.

### **Summary**

In *David R. Webb Co. v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court ruled that payments made by David R. Webb Co. , Inc. to fulfill an assumed pension liability of its predecessor, Reade's Webb division, were not deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses. The court held these payments were capital expenditures, to be added to the cost basis of the acquired assets. This decision reaffirmed the principle that a successor's payments for a predecessor's obligations are capital in nature, despite the nature of the obligation being a pension liability. The case illustrates the importance of distinguishing between capital expenditures and deductible expenses, impacting how acquiring companies should account for assumed liabilities.

### **Facts**

David R. Webb Co. , Inc. acquired all assets and liabilities of Reade's Webb division, including the assumption of an unfunded pension liability to Mrs. Grunwald, the widow of a former employee. This liability originated from an employment agreement with Mr. Grunwald at Webb-1, a predecessor corporation. After Mr. Grunwald's death, Webb-1, and its successors, Rutland and Reade, made pension payments to Mrs. Grunwald. David R. Webb Co. continued these payments in 1973 and 1974, claiming deductions for them as ordinary and necessary business expenses.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in David R. Webb Co. 's federal income taxes for 1973 and 1974, disallowing the deductions for the pension payments. David R. Webb Co. filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court, contesting the Commissioner's determination. The Tax Court upheld the Commissioner's position, ruling that the payments were not deductible business expenses but rather capital expenditures.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether payments made by David R. Webb Co. , Inc. to Mrs. Grunwald, pursuant to the company's assumption of an unfunded pension liability from its predecessor, are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses under section 404(a)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the payments were capital expenditures, not ordinary and necessary business expenses. The court held that the payments, being part of the cost of acquiring the predecessor's business, should be added to the cost basis of the acquired assets, not deducted as expenses.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court's decision was grounded in the well-established principle that payments made by a successor corporation to satisfy a predecessor's obligations are capital expenditures. The court applied this principle to the pension liability assumed by David R. Webb Co. , reasoning that the payments were part of the cost of acquiring the business assets. The court rejected the argument that these payments should be treated differently because they related to a pension liability, citing numerous precedents. The court emphasized that the nature of the obligation (pension) did not change its treatment as a capital expenditure when assumed by the successor. The court also distinguished the case from *F. & D. Rentals, Inc. v. Commissioner*, noting that the issue in that case was the timing of deductions, not the nature of the payments. The court's decision reflects a policy consideration to prevent the indirect deduction of what is essentially a capital cost through the guise of a business expense.

### **Practical Implications**

This ruling has significant implications for companies acquiring businesses with assumed liabilities. It clarifies that such liabilities, even if they involve ongoing payments like pensions, must be treated as part of the purchase price and added to the cost basis of the acquired assets, rather than deducted as current expenses. This affects how acquiring companies should structure their accounting and tax planning. The decision also serves as a reminder to practitioners to carefully review the nature of assumed liabilities during business acquisitions. Subsequent cases have continued to apply this principle, reinforcing the distinction between capital expenditures and deductible expenses in the context of business acquisitions. This ruling also impacts the broader business practice by emphasizing the importance of accounting for all assumed liabilities in the purchase price, affecting the financial and tax planning strategies of acquiring companies.