North American Sequential Sweepstakes v. Commissioner, 73 T. C. 758 (1980)

An organization must be operated exclusively for exempt purposes to qualify for tax-exempt status under section 501(c)(3), without primarily serving private interests.

Summary

North American Sequential Sweepstakes sought tax-exempt status under section 501(c)(3), claiming its skydiving competition served educational and amateur sports purposes. The Tax Court denied the exemption, holding that the organization primarily furthered the recreational interests of its founders rather than serving an exempt purpose. The court found that the organization's activities and financial support focused on benefiting its creators, failing the operational test required for exemption under section 501(c)(3).

Facts

North American Sequential Sweepstakes, a nonprofit corporation, was formed in 1976 to conduct a skydiving competition featuring sequential relative work, a new form of the sport. The organization's founders, experienced skydivers, organized the event, participated in it, and used the organization's funds to support their own team's training and participation in an international competition. The competition's expenses were covered by entry fees, while additional funds were contributed by the founders and team members to support the winning team's international efforts.

Procedural History

North American Sequential Sweepstakes applied for tax-exempt status under section 501(c)(3) in 1976. The IRS denied the exemption, leading the organization to seek a declaratory judgment from the Tax Court. The case was submitted for decision based on the certified administrative record, and the court issued its opinion denying the exemption in 1980.

Issue(s)

1. Whether North American Sequential Sweepstakes was operated exclusively for one or more exempt purposes within the meaning of section 501(c)(3).

Holding

1. No, because the organization's activities primarily served the private recreational interests of its founders rather than an exempt purpose.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court applied the operational test under section 501(c)(3), which requires

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

that an organization’s activities primarily accomplish exempt purposes and not serve private interests. The court found that the organization’s founders, who were also its board members and participants in the competition, used the organization to further their personal interests in skydiving. The court noted that the founders’ teams were the primary beneficiaries of the organization’s funds, which were used for their training and international competition expenses. The court emphasized that the organization’s activities, while potentially serving educational and amateur sports purposes incidentally, were predominantly motivated by the founders’ recreational interests. The court cited Better Business Bureau v. United States and other cases to support its conclusion that a substantial nonexempt purpose disqualifies an organization from exemption under section 501(c)(3).

Practical Implications

This decision underscores the importance of ensuring that a nonprofit organization’s activities are primarily directed towards exempt purposes rather than private interests. Legal practitioners advising nonprofit clients must carefully review the organization’s activities and expenditures to ensure compliance with the operational test. The case also highlights the need for clear documentation of the organization’s intended purposes and how its activities further those purposes. For future cases, organizations seeking exemption under section 501(c)(3) should demonstrate that their primary focus is on serving the public rather than benefiting insiders. This ruling may impact how similar organizations structure their operations and financial support to avoid being seen as primarily serving private interests.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.