# Martz v. Commissioner, 77 T. C. 749, 1981 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 50 (U. S. Tax Court, Oct. 1, 1981)

Investment credit carrybacks must be considered in calculating tax deficiencies under I. R. C. § 6211, potentially affecting the Tax Court's jurisdiction over certain tax years.

## **Summary**

In Martz v. Commissioner, the Tax Court held that investment credit carrybacks must be included when calculating deficiencies under I. R. C. § 6211, impacting the court's jurisdiction. The Commissioner had adjusted the Martzes' income for 1973 and 1974 but offset these adjustments with investment credit carrybacks from later years, resulting in no net deficiency. The court ruled it lacked jurisdiction over these years due to the absence of a deficiency, despite the taxpayers' concerns about future litigation complications. This decision underscores the importance of considering all tax credits in deficiency calculations and highlights potential jurisdictional limits for the Tax Court.

#### **Facts**

The Commissioner issued a notice of deficiency to Harold and Polly Martz for tax years 1975, 1976, and 1977. The notice also included adjustments to their income for 1973 and 1974, but these were completely offset by investment credit carrybacks from 1976 and 1977, resulting in no net increase in tax for those earlier years. The Martzes challenged the adjustments for all years, including 1973 and 1974, in Tax Court.

## **Procedural History**

The Commissioner moved to dismiss the petition regarding the tax years 1973 and 1974 for lack of jurisdiction, arguing no deficiency was asserted for those years. The Martzes opposed, asserting that the upward adjustments to their income for those years constituted a deficiency under I. R. C. § 6211. The Tax Court granted the Commissioner's motion, ruling it lacked jurisdiction over the 1973 and 1974 tax years.

#### Issue(s)

1. Whether the Tax Court has jurisdiction over tax years where the Commissioner's adjustments to income are completely offset by investment credit carrybacks, resulting in no net deficiency under I. R. C. § 6211.

### Holding

1. No, because when the Commissioner's adjustments to income are completely offset by other adjustments, such as investment credit carrybacks, resulting in no

additional tax due for that year under I. R. C. § 6211, the Tax Court lacks jurisdiction over those tax years.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court analyzed I. R. C. § 6211, which defines a deficiency as the amount by which the tax imposed exceeds the sum of the tax shown on the return plus previously assessed deficiencies, minus rebates. The court rejected the Martzes' argument that the phrase "the tax imposed by subtitle A" in § 6211 should exclude credits, noting that § 6211(b) specifically excludes certain credits from the calculation, implying that other credits, like the investment credit, should be included. The court emphasized that Congress intended for all taxes and credits under subtitle A to be considered in calculating deficiencies, except where explicitly stated otherwise. The court acknowledged the Martzes' concerns about future litigation but held that the statutory structure of § 6211 did not allow for judicial exceptions beyond those Congress had enumerated.

## **Practical Implications**

This decision affects how tax practitioners should approach deficiency calculations and Tax Court petitions. When analyzing similar cases, attorneys must ensure that all relevant credits, including carrybacks, are considered in deficiency calculations under I. R. C. § 6211. This ruling may limit taxpayers' ability to challenge adjustments to income in years where those adjustments are offset by credits, potentially delaying litigation until a future year when a deficiency arises. Practitioners should be aware of these jurisdictional limits and plan accordingly, possibly seeking alternative dispute resolution methods or preparing for future litigation when the credit's effect becomes relevant. This case has been cited in subsequent decisions addressing Tax Court jurisdiction and deficiency calculations, reinforcing its impact on tax practice.