Urantia Foundation v. Commissioner, 77 T. C. 507, 1981 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 68 (U. S. Tax Court, August 27, 1981)

The U. S. Tax Court lacks jurisdiction to review IRS rulings on specific issues unless they directly involve the initial or continuing qualification or classification of an organization's tax-exempt status or private foundation status.

Summary

Urantia Foundation, a trust publishing a religious-philosophical book, sought a declaratory judgment from the U. S. Tax Court after the IRS ruled that sales to bookstores, rather than ultimate purchasers, must be considered for the public support test under section 509(a)(2)(A). The IRS had previously recognized Urantia as a tax-exempt organization under section 501(c)(3) and not a private foundation. The court held it lacked jurisdiction because the IRS ruling did not directly address Urantia's exemption or classification, emphasizing that jurisdiction requires an actual controversy over the organization's status, not just the impact of an IRS ruling on business practices.

Facts

Urantia Foundation was organized to publish and sell The Urantia Book. In 1959, the IRS determined it was exempt under section 501(c)(3), and in 1970, classified it as not a private foundation under section 509(a)(2). In 1980, Urantia requested a ruling on whether sales to bookstores should be considered as sales to the ultimate purchasers for the public support test. The IRS ruled against Urantia, leading the foundation to seek a declaratory judgment from the U. S. Tax Court.

Procedural History

The IRS initially granted Urantia Foundation tax-exempt status in 1959 and classified it as not a private foundation in 1970. In 1980, following Urantia's request for a ruling on how to apply the public support test, the IRS issued a ruling that sales to bookstores should be considered for this purpose. Urantia then filed a petition for declaratory judgment in the U. S. Tax Court. The Commissioner moved to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, and the court held a hearing on the motion.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the U. S. Tax Court has jurisdiction to review an IRS ruling that does not directly address the initial or continuing qualification or classification of an organization as exempt under section 501(c)(3) or as a private foundation under section 509(a).

Holding

1. No, because the IRS ruling in question did not directly address Urantia

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Foundation’s tax-exempt status or classification as a nonprivate foundation. The court emphasized that jurisdiction requires an actual controversy over the organization’s status, not merely the impact of an IRS ruling on its business practices.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied the statutory requirements of section 7428, which allows for declaratory judgments only in cases involving an actual controversy over an organization’s initial or continuing qualification or classification as exempt or as a nonprivate foundation. The court distinguished between rulings that directly impact an organization’s status (as in Gladstone) and those that do not (as in New Community and the present case). The court reasoned that the IRS ruling on how to apply the public support test did not directly address Urantia’s status but rather affected its business operations. The court emphasized the need for an actual controversy, noting that it could not anticipate the future actions Urantia might take in response to the ruling. The court also referenced the legislative history and purpose of section 7428, which was intended to provide judicial review of IRS determinations that directly affect an organization’s tax-exempt status or classification, not every ruling that might indirectly impact those statuses.

Practical Implications

This decision limits the ability of nonprofit organizations to seek judicial review of IRS rulings that affect their operations but do not directly address their tax-exempt status or classification. Organizations must wait for the IRS to initiate proceedings or make a determination directly impacting their status before seeking declaratory judgments. This ruling underscores the importance of understanding the precise conditions under which judicial review is available under section 7428. It may encourage organizations to carefully consider their requests for IRS rulings and anticipate potential impacts on their operations without immediate recourse to judicial review. Subsequent cases, such as Gladstone, have further clarified the boundaries of jurisdiction under section 7428, emphasizing the need for an actual controversy over an organization’s status.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.