Bolton v. Commissioner, 77 T. C. 104 (1981)

The correct method for allocating interest and property taxes to the rental use of a vacation home under section 280A(c)(5)(B) is based on the number of days the property is rented relative to the total number of days in the year.

Summary

In Bolton v. Commissioner, the taxpayers owned a vacation home in Palm Springs, California, which they rented for 91 days and used personally for 30 days in 1976. The issue was how to allocate interest and property taxes under section 280A(c)(5)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code for deduction purposes. The Tax Court held that the correct method was to allocate these expenses based on the ratio of rental days to the total days in the year (91/365), rather than the Commissioner's method of using the ratio of rental days to total days of use (91/121). This decision clarified the allocation method for such expenses, ensuring a more equitable deduction calculation for vacation home owners.

Facts

In 1976, Dorance D. Bolton and Helen A. Bolton owned a vacation home in Palm Springs, California, which they had purchased in 1974 for rental, personal use, and appreciation. The home was rented for 91 days, used personally for 30 days, and remained vacant for 244 days during the year. The Boltons reported $2,700 in gross rental income and deducted 25% of the interest ($2,854) and property taxes ($621) paid on the home, based on the fraction of rental days (91) to total days in the year (365). The Commissioner, however, argued that the allocation should be based on the ratio of rental days to total days of use (91/121), resulting in a 75% allocation.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined an $859 deficiency in the Boltons' 1976 income tax. The Boltons petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which heard the case based on a stipulation of facts. The Tax Court issued its opinion on July 27, 1981, upholding the Boltons' method of allocating interest and property taxes.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the allocation of interest and property taxes under section 280A(c)(5)(B) for a vacation home should be based on the ratio of rental days to total days in the year or the ratio of rental days to total days of use?

Holding

1. Yes, because the court found that the correct method of allocation under section 280A(c)(5)(B) is to use the ratio of rental days to total days in the year, as this method is consistent with the statutory language and legislative intent.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court’s decision focused on the interpretation of section 280A(c)(5)(B), which limits deductions for rental expenses to the excess of gross rental income over deductions allocable to the rental use. The court emphasized that interest and property taxes are expenses that accrue over the entire year and should be allocated based on the days the property is rented relative to the total days in the year. This method aligns with the statutory language of “allocable,” which the court interpreted to mean a ratable portion of the annual charges. The court rejected the Commissioner’s method, which used the ratio of rental days to total days of use, as it would lead to an inequitable result and was not supported by the statutory text or legislative intent. The court also distinguished a prior case, McKinney v. Commissioner, noting that it did not consider section 280A(e)(2), which exempts interest and taxes from the allocation formula used for other expenses.

Practical Implications

The Bolton decision provides clarity on how to allocate interest and property taxes for vacation homes under section 280A(c)(5)(B). Taxpayers can now confidently use the ratio of rental days to total days in the year for such allocations, ensuring a more predictable and fair deduction calculation. This ruling impacts how legal practitioners advise clients on tax deductions related to vacation home rentals and may influence future IRS guidance on the application of section 280A. The decision also serves as a precedent for distinguishing between expenses that accrue over the entire year and those tied to specific periods of use, which could affect similar cases involving different types of property or expenses.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.