# Newberry v. Commissioner, 76 T. C. 441 (1981)

Business interruption insurance proceeds are not subject to self-employment tax as they are not derived from a trade or business carried on by the taxpayer.

#### Summary

In Newberry v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court held that business interruption insurance proceeds received by Max G. Newberry following a fire that destroyed his grocery store were not subject to self-employment tax. The key issue was whether these proceeds constituted 'net earnings from self-employment' under Section 1402(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. The court ruled that since the proceeds were received during a period when no business was being conducted, they were not derived from a trade or business 'carried on' by Newberry. This decision clarified that insurance proceeds compensating for lost business profits during periods of inactivity are not taxable under self-employment tax rules.

### Facts

Max G. Newberry owned and operated a grocery store known as Seminole Grocery, d. b. a. Piggly Wiggly, in Colquitt, Georgia. On November 10, 1974, the store was destroyed by fire, halting operations until June 1975. During 1975, Newberry received \$11,000 in business interruption insurance proceeds from two policies, which compensated him for lost earnings during the period his business was not operational. Newberry reported these proceeds as income but did not include them in his self-employment tax calculation.

# **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Newberry's 1975 income tax due to the inclusion of the \$11,000 in his self-employment tax base. Newberry contested this determination, leading to a case before the U. S. Tax Court, which ruled in favor of Newberry, holding that the business interruption proceeds were not subject to self-employment tax.

#### Issue(s)

1. Whether business interruption insurance proceeds received by a self-employed individual, which compensate for lost earnings due to a business interruption, constitute 'net earnings from self-employment' under Section 1402(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

# Holding

1. No, because the proceeds were not derived from a trade or business 'carried on' by the taxpayer during the period they were received.

#### **Court's Reasoning**

The court's decision hinged on the interpretation of 'net earnings from selfemployment' as defined in Section 1402(a), which requires income to be derived from a trade or business 'carried on' by the individual. The court emphasized that the insurance proceeds were received during a period when Newberry was not operating his business due to the fire. The court drew analogies to the definitions of 'wages' under the Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA) and the Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA), noting that similar proceeds are not considered wages in those contexts. The court also considered the purpose of the selfemployment tax, which is to extend social security benefits to self-employed individuals based on their actual business activities. The court rejected the Commissioner's argument that prior business activity could suffice to make the proceeds taxable, asserting that a nexus between the income and an ongoing or recently ceased business operation is necessary. The court's interpretation aligned with Senate reports and revenue rulings indicating that income must arise from actual income-producing activity to be subject to self-employment tax.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that business interruption insurance proceeds, which compensate for lost profits during periods when a business is not operational, are not subject to self-employment tax. Practically, this means that self-employed individuals can exclude such proceeds from their self-employment tax calculations, potentially reducing their tax liability. Legal practitioners should advise clients to distinguish between income derived from active business operations and compensation for periods of business inactivity. This ruling may influence how insurance policies are structured and how businesses plan for potential interruptions. Subsequent cases have generally followed this interpretation, reinforcing the principle that self-employment tax applies only to income from actively 'carried on' business activities.