

***Estate of Luigi Racca, George R. Funaro, Executor, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 76 T. C. 416 (1981)***

A decedent's will cannot unilaterally override local law regarding the distribution of jointly held property in the case of simultaneous death for the purpose of claiming a marital deduction.

**Summary**

Luigi Racca and his wife died simultaneously in an accident. Racca's will presumed his wife predeceased him, but New York law presumes equal distribution of joint property in such cases. The issue was whether this will provision barred a marital deduction for half the joint property's value. The Tax Court held that the local law's presumption controlled over the will, allowing the deduction. This ruling clarifies that for federal tax purposes, state law on simultaneous death governs the marital deduction eligibility for joint property, not unilateral will provisions.

**Facts**

Luigi Racca and his wife Virginia died simultaneously in a car accident in Rome, Italy, on July 27, 1975. They jointly owned property worth \$121,130, which Racca had solely purchased. Racca's will included a provision stating that in the event of a common disaster making it difficult to determine who died first, it should be presumed that his wife predeceased him. Both estates reported half the value of the joint property on their respective federal estate tax returns. The Commissioner challenged the marital deduction claimed by Racca's estate.

**Procedural History**

The executor of Racca's estate filed a federal estate tax return and subsequently petitioned the United States Tax Court after the Commissioner determined a deficiency and disallowed the marital deduction. The Tax Court heard the case and issued its opinion on March 2, 1981.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether the provision in decedent's will, presuming his wife predeceased him in the event of simultaneous death, overrides New York's simultaneous death law for the purpose of determining eligibility for a marital deduction?

**Holding**

1. No, because under New York law, which presumes equal distribution of joint property in cases of simultaneous death, the will provision does not control the distribution of jointly held property for tax purposes.

**Court's Reasoning**

The court relied on New York's Estate, Powers & Trusts Law Section 2-1. 6, which provides that in cases of simultaneous death, joint property is to be distributed as if each party survived for half the property. The court clarified that a will cannot unilaterally affect the distribution of jointly held property. The court rejected the Commissioner's argument based on *Estate of Gordon v. Commissioner*, noting that case dealt with different property and did not involve joint property. The court also distinguished *In re Estate of Conover*, which dealt with the inclusion of property in the noncontributing spouse's estate, not the marital deduction. The court concluded that New York law's presumption allowed for a marital deduction for half the value of the joint property.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision underscores the importance of state law in determining federal estate tax consequences in cases of simultaneous death. Practitioners should ensure that estate planning takes into account local laws on simultaneous death, particularly for joint property, as these cannot be overridden by unilateral will provisions. This case has influenced how similar situations are handled, emphasizing the need for clear estate planning to achieve desired tax outcomes. Subsequent cases and IRS rulings have continued to apply this principle, affecting estate planning strategies concerning joint property and marital deductions.