## Blank v. Commissioner, 74 T. C. 409 (1980)

The timely filing requirement under section 7502 of the Internal Revenue Code applies only to documents delivered by the United States Postal Service, not private delivery services.

### Summary

In Blank v. Commissioner, the Tax Court ruled that a petition sent via a private delivery service one day late did not satisfy the timely filing requirement under section 7502 of the Internal Revenue Code. The petitioners argued that using a private carrier should be considered timely under the statute's spirit, but the court held that section 7502 specifically applies to the U. S. Postal Service. The court also rejected the petitioners' claim that the notice of deficiency was not sent to their "last known address," affirming that the address on their tax return was correct. This decision underscores the strict interpretation of statutory language regarding timely filing and the necessity of using the U. S. Postal Service for such filings.

#### Facts

Respondent mailed a notice of deficiency to petitioners at the address listed on their 1976 tax return. Petitioners, experiencing marital difficulties, lived at different addresses, but the IRS was not informed of any change. Petitioners attempted to file a petition for redetermination of the deficiency within 90 days but used Air Couriers International, a private delivery service, which delivered the petition one day late. They argued that the use of a private carrier should be considered timely under section 7502 and that the notice was not sent to their "last known address."

#### **Procedural History**

The respondent moved to dismiss the case for lack of jurisdiction due to the late filing of the petition. Petitioners objected, asserting that the use of a private delivery service should satisfy the timely filing requirement and that the notice of deficiency was improperly addressed. The Tax Court held an evidentiary hearing and subsequently ruled on the motion.

#### Issue(s)

1. Whether section 7502 of the Internal Revenue Code applies to documents delivered by private delivery services.

2. Whether the statutory notice of deficiency was properly mailed to petitioners' "last known address. "  $\,$ 

# Holding

1. No, because section 7502 specifically requires delivery by the United States Postal Service, and the statute's language does not extend to private delivery

services.

2. Yes, because the notice was mailed to the address listed on petitioners' tax return, which was their "last known address" as per the IRS records.

#### **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied a strict interpretation of section 7502, emphasizing that the statute's language, "delivered by United States mail," was clear and did not include private delivery services. The court noted that Congress had crafted the statute carefully, using specific terms related to the U. S. Postal Service. The court also referenced the Private Express Statutes, which give the U. S. Government a monopoly on mail delivery, reinforcing the exclusivity of the U. S. Postal Service in this context. Regarding the "last known address," the court held that the address on the tax return was the correct address for mailing the notice of deficiency, as petitioners had not notified the IRS of any change. The court rejected petitioners' argument that the notice should have been sent to a different address, as no such notification was provided to the IRS.

## **Practical Implications**

This decision underscores the importance of using the U. S. Postal Service for timely filing under section 7502. Legal practitioners must advise clients to use the postal service for any filings that require strict adherence to statutory deadlines. The ruling also highlights the necessity of updating the IRS with any address changes to ensure notices are properly delivered. Subsequent cases have continued to uphold this interpretation, emphasizing the need for clear statutory language when expanding the scope of filing methods. This case has significant implications for tax practitioners, reinforcing the need for meticulous attention to filing procedures and address updates with the IRS.