Estate of Moss v. Commissioner, 60 T. C. 469 (1973)

Promissory notes that are extinguished upon the decedent's death are not includable in the decedent's gross estate for estate tax purposes.

Summary

In Estate of Moss v. Commissioner, the Tax Court addressed whether promissory notes, which were to be canceled upon the decedent's death, should be included in his gross estate. John A. Moss sold his shares in Moss Funeral Home, Inc. , and a property to the company in exchange for three notes, two of which contained a clause canceling any remaining balance upon his death. The court held that these notes, extinguished at death, were not part of the gross estate under Section 2033 because the decedent had no remaining interest at the time of death. This decision highlights the importance of the terms of promissory notes and their impact on estate tax calculations.

Facts

John A. Moss, the decedent, sold his 231 shares of Moss Funeral Home, Inc. , and the North Fort Harrison property to the corporation on September 11, 1972, in exchange for three promissory notes. Note A-1 was for a debt of $289,396. 08, Note B for $184,800 for the stock, and Note C for $290,000 for the property. Notes B and C contained a clause stating that any remaining balance would be canceled upon Moss's death. Moss died on February 24, 1974, and the executor of his estate argued that Notes B and C should not be included in the gross estate due to the cancellation clause.

Procedural History

The executor of Moss's estate filed a Federal estate tax return and excluded Notes B and C from the gross estate, citing the cancellation clause. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency, asserting that these notes should be included in the gross estate and valued at their present value as of the date of death. The case proceeded to the Tax Court for resolution.

Issue(s)

1. Whether promissory notes held by the decedent, which were extinguished upon his death, are includable in his gross estate under Section 2033 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. No, because the decedent's interest in the notes terminated at his death, leaving no interest to be included in the gross estate.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The court’s decision was based on the interpretation of Section 2033, which includes in the gross estate the value of all property to the extent of the interest therein of the decedent at the time of his death. The court found that since the notes were extinguished upon Moss’s death, he had no remaining interest in them at that time. The court distinguished this case from Estate of Buckwalter v. Commissioner, where the decedent retained control over the debt until death. In Moss, the cancellation clause was part of the bargained-for consideration and was an integral part of the notes, not a testamentary disposition. The court also rejected the Commissioner’s argument that the cancellation was akin to an assignment of the notes to employees, as it was part of the original contract. The court likened the situation to an interest or estate limited for the life of the decedent, citing Austin v. Commissioner, and held that the notes were not includable in the gross estate.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that promissory notes with cancellation clauses upon the death of the holder are not part of the gross estate for estate tax purposes. Legal practitioners should carefully draft such clauses to ensure they are integral to the contract and not merely a testamentary disposition. This ruling may influence estate planning strategies involving business transactions and debt instruments, encouraging the use of cancellation clauses to minimize estate tax liability. Subsequent cases have followed this reasoning, reinforcing the importance of the terms of the note in determining estate tax inclusion. Businesses engaging in buy-sell agreements or similar transactions should consider the tax implications of such clauses when structuring their deals.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.