***Davis v. Commissioner, 74 T. C. 881 (1980)***

Sublessors of coal mining rights must report net coal royalty income under section 631(c) without deducting royalties paid from ordinary income.

**Summary**

The Davis case involved a coal mining partnership, Cumberland, that leased coal mining rights from landowners and subleased them to Webster Coal. The key issues were whether Cumberland could deduct advanced and earned royalties paid to landowners from ordinary income and whether special allocations of royalties to a partner, Joe Davis, were taxable as ordinary income or capital gain. The court ruled that royalties paid by Cumberland must be subtracted from royalty income to determine net income under section 631(c), rather than being deducted from ordinary income. Additionally, the court held that Joe Davis's special allocations retained their character as capital gains, not ordinary income, under the tax benefit rule.

**Facts**

Cumberland, a coal mining partnership, leased coal mining rights from landowners and subleased these rights to Webster Coal, which conducted the actual mining operations. Cumberland paid royalties to the landowners, consisting of advanced minimum royalties before mining began and earned royalties as coal was extracted. Joe Davis, a partner in Cumberland, had previously paid advanced royalties on leases he contributed to the partnership. Cumberland allocated part of the royalty income to Davis to reimburse him for these advanced royalties. Cumberland reported all royalties received as long-term capital gain under sections 631(c) and 1231, while deducting royalties paid from ordinary income.

**Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue issued deficiency notices to Cumberland and its partners, disallowing ordinary deductions for royalties paid and recharacterizing them as adjustments to capital gain. The Tax Court consolidated the cases and held hearings to address the deductions for royalties paid and the tax treatment of Joe Davis's special allocations.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether Cumberland and its partners may deduct advanced and earned royalties paid to landowners from ordinary income under section 162, or must these be subtracted from coal royalty receipts for purposes of sections 631(c) and 1231? 2. Whether the tax benefit rule requires Joe Davis to treat as ordinary income, rather than capital gain, certain amounts of coal royalty income specially allocated to him by Cumberland?

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 

## Holding

1. No, because royalties paid by a sublessor must be subtracted from royalty income received to determine net income under sections 631(c) and 1231, rather than being deducted from ordinary income. 2. No, because the special allocations to Joe Davis retained their character as capital gains under sections 631(c) and 704, and there was no “recovery” under the tax benefit rule.

## Court’s Reasoning

The court applied section 631(c), which treats coal royalty income as capital gain or loss from the sale of coal. It interpreted the statute and regulations to mean that royalties paid by a sublessor, such as Cumberland, increase the adjusted depletion basis of the coal and are not deductible from ordinary income. The court found that treating royalties paid as ordinary deductions would lead to unintended tax benefits. Regarding Joe Davis’s special allocations, the court held that they were valid under section 704 as a special allocation of partnership income and retained their character as capital gains. The tax benefit rule did not apply because there was no “recovery” of previously deducted expenses. The court noted that Congress had not enacted legislation to recharacterize section 631(c) gains as ordinary income, except in specific recapture situations.

## Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that sublessors of coal mining rights must treat royalties paid as part of the cost of coal disposed of, affecting how they report net income under section 631(c). It impacts tax planning for coal industry partnerships by limiting deductions for royalties paid. The ruling also affects how partnerships allocate income among partners, confirming that special allocations can retain their character as capital gains. Later cases have followed this precedent in analyzing similar arrangements, and it underscores the importance of understanding the interplay between sections 631(c), 1231, and 704 in coal royalty transactions. The decision may influence business practices in the coal industry by affecting the financial viability of sublessor arrangements and the structuring of partnership agreements.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 