Judd v. Commissioner, 74 T. C. 651 (1980)

The U. S. Tax Court lacks jurisdiction over penalties assessed under section 6652(c) for failure to report tips, as these penalties fall under employment taxes and do not require a statutory notice of deficiency.

Summary

In Judd v. Commissioner, the Tax Court addressed its jurisdiction over a 50% penalty imposed under section 6652(c) for unreported tip income. The petitioners, Ronnie and Jorj Judd, contested the penalty's assessment without a deficiency notice, arguing it should be within the court's jurisdiction due to its connection to income tax disputes. The court clarified that it lacks jurisdiction over employment tax penalties, as these do not fall under the statutory notice requirements of sections 6212(a) and 6213(a). This ruling emphasizes the distinction between income tax and employment tax assessments and their respective legal proceedings.

Facts

Jorj Judd, a cosmetologist, failed to report $2,300 in tips on her 1976 joint tax return. The IRS assessed a $1,317 income tax deficiency and separately calculated a FICA tax and a 50% penalty under section 6652(c) for the unreported tips, using Form 885-T. The IRS mailed the statutory notice for the income tax deficiency and Form 885-T simultaneously. Later, the IRS notified the Judds of their $173. 49 liability for FICA tax, the section 6652(c) penalty, and interest, and applied their 1978 overpayment against this amount. The Judds contested the penalty's assessment and the deduction from their 1978 refund before the Tax Court.

Procedural History

The Judds filed a petition with the Tax Court challenging the income tax deficiency and the section 6652(c) penalty. The Commissioner moved to dismiss the penalty-related claims for lack of jurisdiction. The Tax Court granted the motion, dismissing the penalty claims but allowing the income tax deficiency issues to proceed. The Judds then moved to vacate the order of dismissal, arguing that the penalty's assessment should be within the court's jurisdiction.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the Tax Court has jurisdiction over the assessment of the 50% penalty under section 6652(c) for failure to report tips.

Holding

1. No, because the penalty under section 6652(c) is related to employment taxes, which fall outside the Tax Court's jurisdiction as they do not require a statutory notice of deficiency under sections 6212(a) and 6213(a).

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court reasoned that its jurisdiction is limited to income, estate, gift, and certain excise taxes, which are subject to the deficiency notice requirements of sections 6212(a) and 6213(a). The penalty assessed under section 6652(c) relates to employment taxes under subtitle C of the Internal Revenue Code, which do not require a deficiency notice before assessment. The court cited Shaw v. United States and Wilt v. Commissioner to support its lack of jurisdiction over employment tax penalties. The Judds’ argument that the penalty’s assessment should be within the court’s jurisdiction due to its connection to income tax disputes was rejected, as the court’s jurisdiction does not extend to employment tax matters.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies the jurisdictional boundaries of the Tax Court, emphasizing that employment tax penalties, such as those under section 6652(c), are not within its purview. Practitioners should be aware that challenges to such penalties must be pursued through other legal avenues, such as refund suits in district court or the Court of Federal Claims. The ruling also underscores the importance of distinguishing between income tax and employment tax assessments when advising clients on tax disputes. Subsequent cases have continued to recognize this jurisdictional limitation, reinforcing the need for careful analysis of the type of tax at issue when determining the appropriate legal forum for challenges.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.