Gestrich v. Commissioner, 74 T. C. 525 (1980)

An unfulfilled obligation of support is insufficient to justify a dependency exemption, and home office deductions require income from the related business activity.

Summary

Robert T. Gestrich sought dependency exemptions for his son Michael, who was in foster care and supported by county assistance, arguing that liens on his property constituted payment. The U. S. Tax Court ruled that the liens were merely unfulfilled obligations and did not qualify as support. Gestrich also claimed deductions for a home office used for his writing activities. The court allowed the deduction for 1975, as Gestrich was engaged in the trade or business of being an author, but disallowed deductions for 1976 and 1977 due to lack of income from writing during those years, as required by section 280A of the tax code.

Facts

Robert T. Gestrich's son Michael was placed in foster care and received county assistance starting in 1974. Liens were placed on Gestrich's property for the support provided to Michael, amounting to $1,620 annually. Gestrich claimed dependency exemptions for Michael for tax years 1975, 1976, and 1977. Additionally, Gestrich worked as an author and claimed home office deductions. He earned no income from writing during the years in question but had other employment.

Procedural History

Gestrich filed timely tax returns for the years in question and subsequently petitioned the U. S. Tax Court after receiving notices of deficiency from the Commissioner of Internal Revenue for 1975, 1976, and 1977. The cases were consolidated for trial, briefing, and opinion.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Gestrich is entitled to dependency exemptions for his son Michael based on liens placed on his property as support?
2. Whether Gestrich was engaged in the trade or business of being an author, thereby allowing home office deductions?
3. Whether home office deductions for 1976 and 1977 are allowable under section 280A?

Holding

1. No, because the liens did not constitute actual payment of support; they were merely unfulfilled obligations.
2. Yes, because Gestrich was engaged in the trade or business of being an author during the tax years in question, allowing home office deductions for 1975.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

3. No, because Gestrich earned no income from his writing activities during 1976 and 1977, as required by section 280A.

Court's Reasoning

The court held that liens on Gestrich's property did not qualify as support for Michael, as they represented unfulfilled obligations rather than actual payments. The court cited *Donner v. Commissioner*, emphasizing that "something more than an unfulfilled duty or obligation on the part of the taxpayer" is required for a dependency exemption. Regarding the home office, the court found Gestrich was engaged in the trade or business of being an author, allowing the 1975 deduction. However, for 1976 and 1977, the court applied section 280A, which disallows home office deductions if no income is derived from the business activity. The court also addressed travel expense deductions, allowing a portion for 1976 and 1977 based on the *Cohan* rule.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that unfulfilled obligations, such as liens, do not constitute support for dependency exemption purposes. Taxpayers must demonstrate actual payment to claim exemptions. For home office deductions, this case underscores the importance of generating income from the related business activity, particularly post-1976 due to section 280A. Legal practitioners advising clients on tax matters should ensure clients understand these requirements. The ruling also affects how business expenses, including travel, are substantiated and claimed, applying the *Cohan* rule when precise documentation is lacking.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.