Professional Standards Review Organization of Queens County, Inc. v. Commissioner, 74 T. C. 240 (1980); 1980 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 139; 74 T. C. No. 18

Physician-sponsored organizations can qualify for charitable tax exemption under IRC § 501(c)(3) if their primary purpose is to improve health care quality and reduce government costs, even if incidental benefits accrue to the medical profession.

Summary

The Professional Standards Review Organization (PSRO) of Queens County sought tax-exempt status under IRC § 501(c)(3), which the IRS denied, arguing that the organization served the medical profession's interests. The Tax Court held that the PSRO's primary purpose was to enhance the quality and cost-effectiveness of health care under Medicare and Medicaid, thus qualifying it for exemption. The court emphasized that any benefits to the medical profession were incidental to the PSRO's charitable objectives, which aligned with congressional intent to improve health care and reduce federal expenditures.

Facts

The Professional Standards Review Organization (PSRO) of Queens County was established under the Social Security Amendments of 1972 to review the medical necessity, appropriateness, and quality of care provided under federally subsidized health programs like Medicare and Medicaid. The organization's members were all licensed physicians, and its operations aimed at ensuring effective and economical health care delivery while reducing unnecessary federal spending. The IRS denied the PSRO's application for tax-exempt status under IRC § 501(c)(3), asserting that it also served the interests of the medical profession.

Procedural History

The PSRO filed for tax-exempt status on October 28, 1975, which the IRS denied on June 30, 1977. The PSRO then sought a declaratory judgment from the U. S. Tax Court under IRC § 7428 to challenge the IRS's determination. The Tax Court heard the case based on the stipulated administrative record and issued its decision on May 8, 1980.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the PSRO is operated exclusively for charitable purposes under IRC § 501(c)(3), despite any incidental benefits to the medical profession?

Holding

1. Yes, because the PSRO's primary purpose is to ensure the effective and economical delivery of health care services and reduce unnecessary federal

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

spending, with any benefits to the medical profession being incidental.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied the legal rule that an organization qualifies for tax exemption under IRC § 501(c)(3) if its primary purpose is charitable, and any non-charitable activities are insubstantial. It found that the PSRO’s activities directly aligned with Congress’s goal to improve health care quality and reduce costs in Medicare and Medicaid programs. The court rejected the IRS’s argument that the PSRO served the medical profession’s interests, noting that the self-regulation aspect was part of the congressional mandate to have physicians monitor their peers. The court cited the legislative history and the case of Virginia Professional Standards Review Foundation v. Blumenthal to support its decision, emphasizing that the PSRO’s activities lessened the burdens on the government and directly benefited the public. The court also distinguished the PSRO from a business league under IRC § 501(c)(6), as its quasi-governmental function did not align with the characteristics of a business league.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that physician-sponsored organizations can qualify for tax-exempt status if their primary purpose is to serve charitable objectives such as improving health care quality and reducing government costs, even if they also provide incidental benefits to the medical profession. Legal practitioners should analyze similar organizations based on their primary purpose and the substantiality of any non-charitable activities. The ruling supports the formation of PSROs as a means to achieve cost-effective health care delivery, potentially encouraging more such organizations. Subsequent cases, like Virginia Professional Standards Review Foundation, have relied on this decision to grant tax-exempt status to similar organizations, reinforcing its impact on legal practice and health care policy.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.