

Deely v. Commissioner, 73 T. C. 1081 (1980)

Bad debts are classified as business debts only if they are proximately related to a taxpayer's trade or business.

Summary

Carroll Deely claimed business bad debt deductions for loans to two insolvent corporations he organized. The Tax Court ruled these were nonbusiness bad debts because Deely was not engaged in the business of promoting, financing, or selling corporations. The court found his activities were those of an investor, not a business promoter. Additionally, Deely's subsequent recovery of a previously deducted bad debt was classified as short-term capital gain. The court also disallowed certain expense deductions claimed by Deely, upholding most of the Commissioner's determinations due to lack of substantiation.

Facts

Carroll Deely, a Dallas resident, had been involved in organizing and financing numerous business entities since 1937. In 1967, he organized Mustang Applied Science Corp. , and in 1971, Corporate Information Exchange, Inc. (CIE). Deely loaned money to both entities, which later became insolvent, and claimed the resulting losses as business bad debts. He also claimed various business expense deductions for the years 1971-1974, including rent, depreciation, legal fees, and travel and entertainment expenses.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined deficiencies in Deely's income taxes for 1968, 1972, 1973, and 1974, disallowing the bad debt deductions and certain expense deductions. Deely petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which upheld the Commissioner's determinations, ruling that the bad debts were nonbusiness in nature and that most of the claimed expenses were not substantiated or proximately related to a trade or business.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the debts owed to Deely by Mustang and CIE are business bad debts under section 166(a) or nonbusiness bad debts under section 166(d).
2. If the debts are business bad debts, whether the debt owed by CIE is properly characterized as a contribution to capital.
3. Whether the recovery of a previously deducted bad debt is ordinary income or short-term capital gain.
4. Whether Deely is entitled to certain deductions under section 162.
5. Alternatively, whether Deely is entitled to certain deductions under section 212.
6. Whether certain deductions for travel and entertainment were substantiated under section 274(d).

Holding

1. No, because Deely was not engaged in a trade or business of promoting, financing, or selling corporations; the debts were nonbusiness bad debts.
2. Not reached, as the court determined the debts were nonbusiness.
3. No, because the recovery of a nonbusiness bad debt is short-term capital gain.
4. No, because Deely was not engaged in a trade or business to which these expenses were proximately related.
5. Partially yes, certain expenses were deductible under section 212, but most were disallowed due to lack of substantiation or connection to income production.
6. No, because Deely failed to substantiate these expenses as required by section 274(d).

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the legal rule from *Whipple v. Commissioner*, which states that managing one's investments does not constitute a trade or business. Deely's activities were those of an investor, not a business promoter, as he did not receive fees or commissions for his efforts and held interests in entities for long periods. The court also relied on *Higgins v. Commissioner*, which holds that extensive investing alone is not a trade or business. Deely's failure to substantiate travel and entertainment expenses under section 274(d) led to their disallowance. The court noted that Deely's recovery of a previously deducted bad debt must be treated consistently with its original classification as a nonbusiness bad debt, hence short-term capital gain under *Arrowsmith v. Commissioner*.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that for a debt to be a business bad debt, it must be proximately related to a trade or business. Taxpayers must demonstrate active engagement in a separate business of promoting, financing, or selling entities, not merely investing in them. This ruling affects how taxpayers should document and substantiate business expenses, particularly travel and entertainment, to meet the stringent requirements of section 274(d). It also impacts how recoveries of previously deducted bad debts are treated, emphasizing the importance of consistent tax treatment. Subsequent cases like *Generes* have further refined the criteria for business bad debts, particularly in the context of loans to employer-corporations.