Magnon v. Commissioner, 73 T. C. 1163 (1980)

A shareholder's receipt of corporate services may be treated as a constructive dividend if primarily for the shareholder's benefit without expectation of repayment, and a corporation's bad debt deduction is only allowable when the debt becomes worthless.

Summary

Magnon, the sole shareholder of Magnon Service Electric Corp. , received services from the corporation for his personal projects without timely repayment, leading the IRS to classify these as constructive dividends. The court ruled that these services constituted dividends due to the primary benefit to Magnon and lack of repayment expectation. Additionally, Magnon Service's attempt to claim a bad debt deduction for a $337,300 debt owed by its sister corporation, Del Mar, was disallowed because the debt was not worthless until Del Mar filed for bankruptcy in 1974. The case also addressed the permissibility of the cash method of accounting for construction contracts and upheld penalties for negligence and late filing.

Facts

Raymond Magnon owned all shares of Magnon Service Electric Corp. and Del Mar Service Electric Corp. In 1970 and 1971, Magnon Service performed electrical contracting work on Magnon's personal properties, valued at $192,197. 47, without immediate repayment. Magnon Service recorded these costs as business expenses but did not report them as income or as receivables until later. In 1973, Magnon Service forgave a $337,300 debt from Del Mar, attempting to claim it as a bad debt deduction. Magnon Service used the cash method of accounting for its tax returns, despite using the percentage of completion method for financial statements. Magnon filed his 1971 tax return late and underreported his income for several years, leading to IRS penalties.

Procedural History

The IRS determined deficiencies against Magnon and Magnon Service for unreported constructive dividends and disallowed deductions. Magnon and Magnon Service contested these determinations. The Tax Court reviewed the case, analyzing the constructive dividend, bad debt deduction, accounting method, and penalty issues.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Magnon received constructive dividends from Magnon Service for the work performed on his personal properties during 1970 and 1971?
2. Whether Magnon Service's forgiveness of Del Mar's $337,300 debt in 1973 constituted a constructive dividend to Magnon?
3. Whether Magnon Service was entitled to a bad debt deduction for the $337,300

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

debt from Del Mar in 1973?
4. Whether Magnon Service could use the cash method of accounting for its construction contracts?
5. Whether Magnon was liable for the negligence penalty under section 6653(a) for the years 1970 through 1973?
6. Whether Magnon was liable for an addition to tax under section 6651(a) due to his failure to timely file his 1971 tax return?
7. Whether Magnon Service was liable for the negligence penalty under section 6653(a) for the years ended April 30, 1971, and April 30, 1973?

Holding

1. Yes, because the services were primarily for Magnon's benefit and there was no expectation of repayment.
2. No, because the forgiveness was for business reasons and did not directly benefit Magnon.
3. No, because the debt did not become worthless until Del Mar's bankruptcy in 1974.
4. Yes, because the cash method was consistently used and clearly reflected income.
5. Yes for 1970 and 1971, because Magnon could not prove a good-faith belief in the substantiality of the issues or reliance on his accountant; no for 1972 and 1973, as no deficiencies were upheld for those years.
6. Yes, because Magnon did not show reasonable cause for the late filing.
7. Yes for 1971, due to inadequate recordkeeping and failure to report income; no for 1973, as the bad debt deduction was disallowed for the wrong year.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the legal rule that a constructive dividend occurs when a corporation confers an economic benefit on a shareholder without expectation of repayment. Magnon Service's services on Magnon's properties were deemed primarily for his benefit, and the lack of immediate repayment or adequate recordkeeping supported the finding of constructive dividends. For the bad debt issue, the court applied the rule that a debt must be worthless to be deductible, finding that Del Mar's debt did not meet this criterion until its bankruptcy. Regarding accounting methods, the court upheld the use of the cash method as it clearly reflected income and was consistently used. The court imposed penalties for negligence and late filing based on Magnon's failure to demonstrate reasonable cause or good-faith belief in the substantiality of the issues. The court cited cases like Loftin & Woodard, Inc. v. United States and Benes v. Commissioner to support its analysis.

Practical Implications

This decision reinforces the importance of clear intent and documentation for shareholder transactions to avoid constructive dividend treatment. It underscores

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

that bad debt deductions require evidence of worthlessness at the time of deduction. The ruling supports the use of the cash method of accounting in the construction industry when consistently applied and clearly reflecting income. Practitioners should advise clients on maintaining meticulous records and timely filing to avoid penalties. This case has been cited in subsequent cases to clarify the criteria for constructive dividends and the timing of bad debt deductions.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.