

## ***T.C. Memo. 1979-180***

Donations of property collected by a taxpayer can generate taxable income if the items are not considered gifts to the taxpayer and the claimed charitable deduction exceeds the established fair market value of the donated goods.

### **Summary**

An optometrist, Dr. Holcombe, collected used eyeglasses from patients and friends due to his known charitable work. He donated these glasses to various charitable organizations and claimed charitable deductions based on their estimated retail value. The Tax Court disallowed the majority of the claimed deductions, finding that the used eyeglasses had no fair market value as eyeglasses. The court further held that the value of the donated frames, to the extent of their gold content as determined by the IRS, constituted income to Dr. Holcombe because the eyeglasses were not considered gifts to him in a tax law sense, and he exercised dominion over them by donating and claiming a deduction.

### **Facts**

Dr. Holcombe, an optometrist, routinely received used eyeglasses, lenses, and frames from patients and friends who knew of his charitable work providing eyeglasses to indigents. Patients often left their old glasses after receiving new prescriptions. Dr. Holcombe inventoried and stored these items. He volunteered with the Medical Benevolent Foundation, which operates clinics in Korea and Haiti and relies on donated eyeglasses. In 1973, 1974, and 1975, Dr. Holcombe donated collected eyeglasses and frames to charities, including the Southern College of Optometry and the Hospital St. Croix-LeOgaine in Haiti. He claimed charitable deductions based on a reduced retail price of similar new items.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of the IRS determined deficiencies in Dr. Holcombe's income tax for 1973, 1974, and 1975, disallowing most of the claimed charitable deductions for the donated eyeglasses and increasing his gross income by a portion of the claimed deduction. Dr. Holcombe petitioned the Tax Court, contesting the Commissioner's determination.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether Dr. Holcombe is entitled to deductions for charitable contributions of eyeglasses, lenses, and frames.
2. If so, whether the fair market value of the contributed items exceeded the amounts determined by the IRS.
3. Whether the fair market value of the donated eyeglasses, lenses, and frames constituted gross income to Dr. Holcombe.

## Holding

1. Yes, Dr. Holcombe is entitled to a charitable deduction, but only to the extent of the fair market value of the contributed items as determined by the IRS.
2. No, Dr. Holcombe failed to prove that the fair market value of the used eyeglasses, lenses, and frames as eyeglasses exceeded the value determined by the IRS (based on gold content of frames).
3. Yes, the fair market value of the frames, as determined by the IRS, is includable in Dr. Holcombe's gross income because the eyeglasses were not considered gifts to him for tax purposes.

## Court's Reasoning

The court reasoned that while the patients and friends who gave Dr. Holcombe the used eyeglasses were aware of his charitable activities, the transfers were not considered gifts to Dr. Holcombe in the tax law sense as defined in *Commissioner v. Duberstein*, 363 U.S. 278, 285 (1960). The court stated, "[A] gift as used in the revenue laws must proceed from a detached and disinterested generosity or out of affection, respect, admiration, charity, or like impulses." The court found that the transferors' intent was for the items to be used for a needy person or good cause, not out of generosity towards Dr. Holcombe personally.

Regarding fair market value, the court found that Dr. Holcombe failed to demonstrate that the used eyeglasses had any fair market value as eyeglasses in the United States. Witnesses testified there was no market for used eyeglasses. The court noted, "[A]n intrinsic value to an individual of an item is not its fair market value." Since Dr. Holcombe did not prove error in the IRS's determination of value based on the gold content of the frames, the court upheld the IRS's valuation.

Citing *Haverly v. United States*, 513 F.2d 224 (7th Cir. 1975), and Rev. Rul. 70-498, the court held that because the eyeglasses were not gifts to Dr. Holcombe and he exercised dominion and control over them by donating them and taking a deduction, the value determined by the IRS was includable in his income. The act of taking the deduction triggered income recognition.

## Practical Implications

*Holcombe v. Commissioner* highlights the importance of establishing fair market value for charitable contribution deductions, especially for non-cash donations. It clarifies that simply donating property does not automatically entitle a taxpayer to a deduction based on replacement cost or retail value. Furthermore, the case illustrates that the receipt and subsequent donation of items, even if unsolicited, can create taxable income if the initial receipt is not considered a gift for tax purposes and the taxpayer exercises dominion and control by taking a charitable deduction. This case is instructive for legal professionals advising clients on charitable giving, particularly when dealing with donations of collected goods or services where the

initial receipt of the donated items might not constitute a tax-free gift.