Leigh v. Commissioner, 72 T. C. 1105 (1979)

A fiduciary can be personally liable for estate taxes if they distribute estate assets knowing or having notice of an unpaid tax debt.

Summary

Kenneth Leigh, the administrator of Charles W. Cooper's estate, signed an amended estate tax return showing an additional $27,061 tax due but distributed all estate assets without paying it. The U. S. Tax Court held Leigh personally liable under 31 U. S. C. sec. 192 because he had knowledge of the debt and sufficient estate assets to pay it before distribution. The court rejected Leigh's defense of reliance on his attorney, emphasizing that fiduciaries have a nondelegable duty to ensure estate taxes are paid before distributing assets.

Facts

Charles W. Cooper died intestate in 1969, and Kenneth Leigh was appointed administrator of his estate. Leigh, with no prior estate administration experience, relied heavily on his attorney, Bernard Minsky. In 1971, an estate tax return was filed. In 1972, an amended return was filed showing additional tax due to newly discovered assets. Leigh signed the amended return but did not pay the additional tax before distributing all estate assets to beneficiaries. The IRS then sought to hold Leigh personally liable for the unpaid tax.

Procedural History

The IRS determined Leigh was personally liable for the estate's unpaid tax and issued a notice of deficiency. Leigh petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which held a trial and ultimately found Leigh personally liable for the tax under 31 U. S. C. sec. 192.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Kenneth Leigh can be held personally liable for the unpaid estate tax under 31 U. S. C. sec. 192?

Holding

1. Yes, because Leigh had knowledge or notice of the estate tax debt at a time when the estate had sufficient assets to pay it, and he failed to ensure payment before distributing the assets.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied 31 U. S. C. sec. 192, which holds fiduciaries personally liable for debts to the U. S. if they pay other debts or distribute assets before satisfying those debts. The court found Leigh had actual knowledge of the tax debt when he signed

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

the amended return showing the additional tax. The court rejected Leigh's argument that his reliance on Minsky relieved him of liability, stating that fiduciaries have a nondelegable duty to ensure estate taxes are paid. The court emphasized the public policy behind the statute, which aims to secure government revenue, and concluded that Leigh should have inquired about the tax payment before distributing assets.

Practical Implications

This decision reinforces that fiduciaries must actively ensure estate taxes are paid before distributing assets, even if they rely on professional advisors. It serves as a warning to estate administrators that they cannot delegate their responsibility to pay estate taxes and must independently verify that taxes are settled. The ruling may lead to more cautious practices among fiduciaries, potentially delaying distributions until all tax liabilities are resolved. Subsequent cases have applied this principle, holding fiduciaries accountable for failing to pay known tax debts before distributions.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.