Greenspun v. Commissioner, 72 T. C. 931 (1979)

A loan at a preferential interest rate, given as compensation, does not result in taxable income to the recipient if the interest would have been deductible had it been paid.

Summary

Herman Greenspun received a $4 million loan at a 3% interest rate from Howard Hughes, significantly below the market rate of 6%. The Tax Court found that the loan was compensation for Greenspun's favorable media coverage and property transactions favoring Hughes. However, following the precedent set in *Dean v. Commissioner*, the court held that Greenspun did not realize taxable income from the loan because any imputed interest would have been deductible under Section 163 had it been paid. This case underscores the nuanced tax treatment of loans as compensation and the importance of the *Dean* doctrine in such scenarios.

Facts

In 1967, Howard Hughes loaned Herman Greenspun $4 million at a 3% interest rate, well below the prevailing 6% market rate. Hughes aimed to secure favorable media coverage from Greenspun, who owned the Las Vegas Sun and KLAS-TV. Greenspun used part of the loan to pay off existing debts and to acquire additional land. In 1969, the loan term was extended from 8 to 35 years. Greenspun provided positive media coverage of Hughes and supported his business ventures, including appearing before the Nevada Gaming Policy Board in his favor.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Greenspun's federal income taxes for 1967 and 1969, asserting that the favorable interest rate on the loan constituted taxable income. Greenspun petitioned the U. S. Tax Court for review. The Tax Court heard the case and issued its decision in 1979.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the favorable 3% interest rate on the loan from Hughes to Greenspun was granted in exchange for consideration given or to be given by Greenspun?
2. Whether Greenspun realized taxable income from the receipt of the loan proceeds at a preferential interest rate?

Holding

1. Yes, because the loan and its favorable terms were intended to compensate Greenspun for services rendered and to induce property transactions.
2. No, because under the precedent of *Dean v. Commissioner*, the loan did not result in taxable income to Greenspun, as any imputed interest would have been

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

deductible under Section 163 had it been paid.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court concluded that the loan was granted in exchange for Greenspun’s favorable media coverage and assistance in property transactions, fulfilling Hughes’ strategic objectives in Las Vegas. However, the court followed the *Dean* doctrine, which holds that an interest-free or low-interest loan does not result in taxable income if the interest, had it been paid, would have been deductible. The court reasoned that treating the loan as income would necessitate an offsetting deduction for the imputed interest, effectively neutralizing any tax impact. The court also noted the Commissioner’s long-standing acquiescence to the *Dean* doctrine and the potential for legislative action in this area, choosing not to overrule *Dean* despite recognizing its limitations. Concurring and dissenting opinions debated the continued validity of *Dean* but agreed on the outcome based on its application to the facts at hand.

Practical Implications

This decision reinforces the tax treatment of low-interest loans as non-taxable compensation when the imputed interest would be deductible. Legal practitioners should carefully analyze the deductibility of interest in similar cases, as it could affect the taxability of the loan. The case highlights the importance of understanding the *Dean* doctrine and its potential limitations, especially in scenarios involving compensation through loans. Businesses and individuals engaging in such arrangements should be aware that while the loan itself may not be taxable, the IRS could challenge the transaction based on the economic benefit received. Subsequent legislative changes, such as the introduction of Section 7872, have addressed some of the issues raised in this case, requiring imputed interest on certain below-market loans.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.