

## ***Golanty v. Commissioner, 72 T. C. 411 (1979)***

Substantial losses over many years without a realistic expectation of future profit indicate that an activity is a hobby, not a business, for tax deduction purposes.

### **Summary**

Stanley and Lorrie Golanty operated an Arabian horse-breeding venture, incurring substantial losses from 1967 to 1973, totaling \$129,552. They claimed these losses as business deductions on their tax returns. The Tax Court examined the operation's profitability, the Golantys' expertise, and the tax benefits they received from the losses. The court determined that the operation was not conducted with a profit motive, classifying it as a hobby rather than a business, and disallowed the deductions under Section 183 of the Internal Revenue Code.

### **Facts**

In 1966, Lorrie Golanty, with no prior experience in horse breeding, purchased an Arabian stallion, Tazzrouf, and began a breeding operation. Over the next seven years, she acquired more horses, leased others, and moved the operation to two different ranches. Despite her efforts, the venture consistently operated at a loss, with expenses far exceeding revenue. The Golantys claimed these losses as business deductions on their tax returns for 1972 and 1973. The IRS challenged these deductions, leading to a court case.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the Golantys' federal income taxes for 1972 and 1973, disallowing the deductions for their horse-breeding losses. The Golantys petitioned the United States Tax Court, which heard the case and issued its decision on June 5, 1979, ruling in favor of the Commissioner.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the Golantys' Arabian horse-breeding operation was an "activity not engaged in for profit" within the meaning of Section 183(a) of the Internal Revenue Code?

### **Holding**

1. Yes, because the operation did not have a bona fide expectation of profit, as evidenced by the consistent and substantial losses over many years, the lack of expertise in horse breeding, and the significant tax benefits the Golantys received from the deductions.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied Section 183 of the IRC, which disallows deductions for activities not engaged in for profit, unless the taxpayer can demonstrate a bona fide expectation of profit. The Golantys' operation had incurred losses every year from 1967 to 1973, totaling \$129,552. The court noted that the operation's losses increased over time, with no realistic prospect of becoming profitable. The Golantys' lack of expertise in horse breeding and their failure to consult business experts or implement cost-saving measures further indicated a lack of profit motive. The court also considered the tax benefits the Golantys received from the deductions, which significantly reduced their out-of-pocket expenses. The court concluded that the operation was a hobby, not a business, and disallowed the deductions.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that taxpayers must demonstrate a genuine profit motive to claim deductions for losses from activities like horse breeding. It emphasizes that consistent losses over many years, without a realistic expectation of future profitability, can lead to the classification of an activity as a hobby. Taxpayers should maintain detailed records, consult experts, and implement business-like practices to support a profit motive. This case has been cited in subsequent cases to deny deductions for activities lacking a profit motive, such as in *Allen v. Commissioner* (1979) and *Dunn v. Commissioner* (1978). It also highlights the importance of considering the tax benefits derived from loss deductions when assessing a taxpayer's true intentions.