Larchmont Foundation, Inc. v. Commissioner, 73 T. C. 166 (1979)

The burden of proof for excise taxes on private foundations under IRC section 4945(a)(1) lies with the taxpayer, while the burden for penalties due to willful and flagrant conduct under IRC section 6684(2) lies with the Commissioner.

Summary

In Larchmont Foundation, Inc. v. Commissioner, the Tax Court addressed the burden of proof for excise taxes and penalties on private foundations. The court held that the foundation bore the burden of disproving the Commissioner's determination of taxable expenditures under section 4945(a)(1). However, the burden shifted to the Commissioner for penalties under section 6684(2), which require willful and flagrant conduct. The foundation failed to substantiate its expenditures, resulting in upheld excise taxes, but the Commissioner failed to prove willful and flagrant conduct, so penalties were not imposed. This case clarifies the allocation of burdens in private foundation tax disputes.

Facts

Larchmont Foundation, Inc. , a private foundation, received its charter in 1968 and was granted tax-exempt status in 1969. In 1975, the IRS revoked its tax-exempt status for failure to provide required records and determined that certain 1971 expenditures were taxable under IRC section 4945(d). The Commissioner assessed excise taxes under section 4945(a)(1) and (b), and a penalty under section 6684 against Larchmont and its president, Paul R. Stout. Larchmont failed to substantiate the nature and purpose of the expenditures in question.

Procedural History

The IRS issued notices of deficiency in 1975, assessing taxes and penalties against Larchmont and Stout. Larchmont challenged these determinations in the Tax Court. The court previously dismissed the case regarding Larchmont's tax-exempt status for lack of jurisdiction. The remaining issues centered on the burden of proof for the excise taxes and penalties.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the burden of proof under IRC section 4945(a)(1) lies with the Commissioner or the petitioners.
2. Whether such burden has been carried in this case.
3. Whether the burden of proof under IRC section 6684(2) lies with the Commissioner or the foundation.
4. Whether such burden has been carried in this case.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. No, because the general rule places the burden on the taxpayer to disprove the Commissioner's determination unless the statute shifts the burden, which it does not for section 4945(a)(1).
2. No, because Larchmont failed to provide any evidence to disprove the Commissioner's determination of taxable expenditures.
3. Yes, because when the penalty under section 6684(2) involves willful and flagrant conduct, the burden shifts to the Commissioner.
4. No, because the Commissioner failed to provide evidence that Larchmont's conduct was willful and flagrant.

Court's Reasoning

The court relied on the general principle that the burden of proof lies with the taxpayer to disprove the Commissioner's determination unless the statute explicitly shifts the burden. For section 4945(a)(1), no such shift occurs, so Larchmont had to prove its expenditures were not taxable. The court noted that Larchmont's failure to substantiate its expenditures, coupled with its president's refusal to testify, resulted in an inability to meet this burden. Conversely, for the penalty under section 6684(2), which requires willful and flagrant conduct, the burden shifts to the Commissioner. The court emphasized that the Commissioner must prove such conduct, which he failed to do, leading to the denial of the penalty. The court also referenced prior cases and regulations to support its conclusions on burden allocation.

Practical Implications

This decision has significant implications for private foundations and their legal counsel in tax disputes. It clarifies that the foundation must substantiate its expenditures to avoid excise taxes under section 4945(a)(1), emphasizing the importance of record-keeping and compliance with reporting requirements. For penalties under section 6684(2), the ruling shifts the burden to the Commissioner to prove willful and flagrant conduct, providing a defense for foundations against such penalties. Practitioners should advise clients to maintain detailed records and be prepared to substantiate expenditures to avoid similar tax liabilities. The decision also underscores the need for the IRS to provide clear evidence of willful conduct when seeking to impose penalties, potentially affecting how such cases are pursued and defended.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.