

## ***Estate of Brock v. Commissioner, 67 T. C. 531 (1976)***

A nontrust remainder interest in a salt royalty does not qualify for a charitable deduction under section 2055(e)(2) as a remainder interest in a personal residence or farm.

### **Summary**

In *Estate of Brock v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court denied a charitable deduction for a remainder interest in a salt royalty left to a church, as the interest did not qualify under section 2055(e)(2). Fred A. Brock, Jr. , devised a life interest in a portion of a salt royalty to his wife and the remainder to a church. The court held that the salt royalty did not constitute a personal residence or farm, thus not qualifying for the charitable deduction. The decision underscores the narrow scope of deductible nontrust remainder interests and emphasizes Congress's intent to prevent manipulation of income streams that could diminish the value of charitable remainders.

### **Facts**

Fred A. Brock, Jr. , died in 1973, leaving a will that devised one-half of his remaining one-half interest in a salt royalty to his wife, Eleanor Chevalley Brock, for life, with the remainder to the First Presbyterian Church of Angleton. The salt royalty was derived from mineral interests in Brazoria County, Texas, under a lease agreement with Dow Chemical Co. Brock's estate claimed a charitable deduction for the remainder interest but was denied by the Commissioner, who argued it did not meet the requirements of section 2055(e)(2).

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in the estate's federal estate tax and denied the charitable deduction. The estate appealed to the U. S. Tax Court, which heard the case on a stipulation of facts and ultimately upheld the Commissioner's denial of the charitable deduction.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the remainder interest in the salt royalty left to the church qualifies as a deductible nontrust remainder interest in a personal residence or farm under section 2055(e)(2).

### **Holding**

1. No, because the salt royalty does not meet the statutory definition of a personal residence or farm, and thus, the charitable deduction was properly disallowed.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied section 2055(e)(2), which disallows deductions for remainder interests unless they are in a specified type of trust or constitute an interest in a personal residence or farm. The court found that the salt royalty interest did not fit the definition of a personal residence or farm as defined in the regulations, requiring that the property be used by the decedent for residential or farming purposes. The court emphasized the legislative history of section 2055(e)(2), which aimed to prevent the manipulation of income streams that could diminish the value of charitable remainders. The court noted that the exception for personal residences and farms was intended to apply to situations less susceptible to such manipulation, which did not extend to royalty interests like the one at issue. The court rejected the estate's argument that the royalty was part of a personal residence or farm, as no evidence was provided that Brock used the property for these purposes. Furthermore, the court highlighted that the salt royalty could be manipulated in ways that would favor the life tenant over the charitable remainderman, thus falling outside the intended scope of the statutory exception.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision limits the scope of nontrust remainder interests that qualify for charitable deductions, particularly in estate planning involving mineral royalties. Attorneys should carefully consider the nature of the property interest when structuring charitable gifts to ensure compliance with section 2055(e)(2). The ruling underscores the need to use specified types of trusts for charitable remainders to avoid disallowance of deductions. For estate planners, this case serves as a reminder to align charitable giving strategies with the specific statutory requirements to maximize tax benefits. Subsequent cases have continued to uphold the narrow interpretation of what constitutes a deductible nontrust remainder interest, reinforcing the importance of precise estate planning in this area.